Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Composites Part B Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: JCOMB-D-11-00061

Title: Effect of amphiphilic coupling agent on heat flow and dielectric properties of flax - polypropylene composites

Article Type: Full Length Article

Keywords: A. Polymer matrix composites; A. Fibres; B. Electrical properties; B. Thermal properties; E.Compression moulding

Corresponding Author: Dr Maya John,

Corresponding Author's Institution:

First Author: Maya John

Order of Authors: Maya John; Rajesh Anandjiwala; R Tilli; A Boudenne; L Ibos

Abstract: The study on heat transport in composites is of fundamental importance in engineering design and for tailoring thermal and mechanical behaviour of materials. In this study, the thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity of flax reinforced polypropylene (PP) composites were determined at room temperature. Chemical modification in the form of a biodegradable zein coating was applied to the flax nonwovens. The effect of fibre loading and chemical modification on the thermo-physical properties was investigated. Dielectric permittivity studies were also evaluated and the dielectric constant of fibre reinforced composites was found to be higher than that of PP. The heat flow and crystallinity effects of the composites were also determined by differential scanning calorimetric (DSC) studies. Zein modification of the flax fibres resulted in a decrease of thermal conductivity and diffusivity which was attributed to a decrease in velocity and mean free path of phonons due to increase in interfacial adhesion.

Suggested Reviewers: Aji Mathew PhD aji.mathew@ltu.se

P Sreekumar sreeku206@gmail.com

Ilona Racz PhD raczi@bzaka.hu

Sabu Thomas PhD sabuchathukulam@yahoo.co.uk

Opposed Reviewers:

Dr. MAYA JACOB JOHN SENIOR RESEARCHER POLYMERS AND COMPOSITES COMPETENCE AREA MATERIALS SCIENCE & MANUFACTURING CSIR PORT ELIZABETH SOUTH AFRICA E-mail: mjohn@csir.co.za Phone} Office: ++ 27 41 508 3292 Fax: ++ 27 41 583 2325

Dear Editor

11/02/11

Enclosed please find a copy of the manuscript entitled, 'Effect of amphiphilic coupling agent on heat flow and dielectric properties of flax – polypropylene composites' in favor of publication in Composites Part B. Kindly acknowledge the receipt of the same.

Thanking you

Sincerely yours

M. JOHN

Effect of amphiphilic coupling agent on heat flow and dielectric properties of flax – polypropylene composites Maya Jacob John^{1,3}*, R. Tlili², Rajesh D. Anandjiwala^{1,3}, A. Boudenne² and L. Ibos² 7 ¹CSIR Materials Science and Manufacturing, Polymers and Composites Competence Area, P.O. Box 1124, Port Elizabeth 6000, South Africa, E-mail: mjohn@csir.co.za ² CERTES EA 3481 - Centre d'Etude et de Recherche en Thermique, Environnement et Systèmes Université Paris 12 Val de Marne, 61 Av. du Général de Gaulle 94010 Créteil Cedex - France E-mail: boudenne@univ-paris12.fr ³ Department of Textile Science, Faculty of Science, Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University, P.O. Box 1600, Port Elizabeth 6000, South Africa, Abstract The study on heat transport in composites is of fundamental importance in engineering design and for tailoring thermal and mechanical behaviour of materials. In this study, the thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity of flax reinforced polypropylene (PP) composites were determined at room temperature. Chemical modification in the form of a biodegradable zein coating was applied to the flax nonwovens. The effect of fibre loading and chemical modification on the thermo-physical properties was investigated. Dielectric permittivity studies were also evaluated and the dielectric constant of fibre reinforced composites was found to be higher than that of PP. The heat flow and crystallinity effects of the composites were also determined by differential scanning calorimetric (DSC) studies. Zein modification of the flax fibres resulted in a decrease of thermal conductivity and diffusivity which was attributed to a decrease in velocity and mean free path of phonons due to increase in interfacial adhesion. Key words: A. Polymer matrix composites; A. Fibres; B. Electrical properties; B. Thermal properties; E.Compression moulding *Corresponding author; E-mail: mjohn@csir.co.za, mayajacobkunnel@yahoo.com

Tel: ++ 27-41 508-3292, Fax: ++ 21 41 508 3277

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of thermo-physical properties has been important for many applications such as heat exchangers and heat dissipation materials especially in electronic products. Though natural fibre reinforced composites have advantageous properties, they also have drawbacks in heat dissipation properties. Thermal conductivity, thermal diffusivity and specific heat are the three most important physical properties needed to study the heat transfer in materials. Thermal conductivity describes ability of the material to transport heat while thermal diffusivity is a measure of its ability to adjust temperature with the surroundings. Several methods for determination of thermal diffusivity and thermal conductivity have been mentioned in the literature [Santos, 2007; Santos 2005]. Steady state methods are those in which the desired property is measured in a steady state heat exchange, and calorimetric techniques employed in the determination of the thermal conductivity are examples of this category. Non-steady methods are those in which the property is measured according to a transient regime of heat exchange e.g. laser flash technique.

In this particular study, the thermophysical properties of composites prepared from needle punched flax nonwovens and polypropylene was investigated. Nonwovens are one of the products popularly used as reinforcements in composites for many applications since they possess a good combination of strength and flexibility compared to conventional materials [Bhat,1995]. The advantages of using polypropylene as matrix are good properties, lower cost and their relatively low processing temperature which is essential because of low thermal stability of natural fibres. As natural fibres are polar and require modification for bonding with non-polar PP, it would be desirable that the chemicals used for the modification preserve the biodegradable nature of natural fibres. Ideally, the chemicals used for modification should also be from renewable resources. In this study, we have used zein as a coating on natural fibres and have already reported on the enhanced mechanical properties due to increased interfacial adhesion [John & Anandjiwala, 2009]. Zein is a natural protein derived from corn and is composed of a number of amino acids of which glutamic acid is the major proportion [Di Gioia et al., 2000]. Zein is resistant to microbial attack and possesses the additional benefits of being renewable and biodegradable.

Recently the effect of fibre loading and chemical treatments on thermophysical properties of banana fibre reinforced polypropylene commingled composites was reported by Paul et al. [2008]. It was observed that chemical modifications on natural fibres improved the thermo-physical properties however thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity decreased with increasing fibre loading. Another interesting study reported on the thermal diffusivity and specific heat capacity of flax fibre reinforced HDPE composites at processing temperatures from 170°C to 200°C [Li et al. 2008]. It was found that thermo-physical properties decreased with fibre content but did not have any significant variation with temperature.

Idicula et al [2006] investigated the thermo-physical properties of banana /sisal hybrid
fibre reinforced polyester composites. It was found that hybridization with glass fibre
increased the heat transport ability of the composite. In another study involving

hybridization, the thermal diffusivity and conductivity of sisal and glass fibre reinforced
polyethylene composites were reported by Kalaprasad et al [2000].

The objective of this investigation was to determine the thermophysical properties of flax reinforced polypropylene composites at room temperature. The fibre loading of the composites was varied from 20% to 40%. The effects of zein coating on the thermophysical properties were investigated. DSC studies were carried out to obtain information on heat flow and crystallization effects in composites. Dielectric measurements of the composites were also carried out to look into the variation of dielectric constant with fibre content and modification.

92 2. EXPERIMENTAL

93 2.1 Materials

Flax fibres (grown in South Africa) were cottonised on Temafa Cottonization line by processing them through 1 pass in pre-opener (at speed 680 rpm) and 1 pass Cottonizer (at 1470 rpm) to produce needle-punched nonwovens. The needle-punched nonwoven from 100% cottonised flax fibres with an area weight of 200 g/m² was used in this study. Polypropylene in sheet form (6 mm thickness), with a density of 0.9g/cc and melt flow index of 1.5g /10 min was procured from Ampaglas SA. Zein was obtained from Scientific Polymer Product Company, Ontario, NY. All other chemical reagents used in this study were of analytical grade.

2.2 Chemical Modification of Nonwovens

104 2.2.1 Zein modification of flax nonwovens

Zein belongs to the characteristic class of proteins known as prolamines which occur
specifically in cereals. The protein products from corn wet milling are corn gluten meal
(CGM) and corn gluten feed (CGF) and zein is obtained as a by-product from corn
gluten meal [Momany et al. 2006; Shukla & Cheryan 2001; Wang et al. 2004].

Varying concentrations of zein solution was prepared by mixing the required weight of zein with an ethanol/water mixture in the ratio of 80/20. The flax nonwovens were immersed in this solution and were allowed to stand for 2 hours. The ethanol/water mixture was drained out and the nonwovens were dried in air and then in an oven at 110°C until completely dry. These nonwovens were used to prepare the modified composites.

2.2 Preparation of composites

Composites were prepared from nonwoven flax and polypropylene on the basis of varying fibre content. The flax nonwoven mats were cut into small uniform squares (30 cm x 30 cm) and then dried in an air oven at 110°C for 7 h. The dried nonwoven mats were placed between weighed polypropylene sheets. This was wrapped in Teflon[®] sheets and sandwiched between two aluminium plates. Subsequently these two plates were placed between the two platens of compression moulding press and cured under a pressure of about 35 bars at 210°C for 20 minutes, followed by cooling under pressure for 3 minutes.

3. ANALYSIS

3.1 Thermophysical properties

A periodical method was used to estimate thermal conductivity, diffusivity and specific heat of polymer composite materials at room temperature (Figure 1). This method is based on the use of a small temperature modulation in a parallelepiped-shape sample $(44\text{mm} \times 44\text{mm} \times 2.5 \text{ mm})$ and allows obtaining all the thermophysical parameters in only one measurement with their corresponding statistical confidence bounds [Boudenne et al. 2004]. The composite sample is fixed between two metallic plates. The front side of the first metallic plate is heated periodically using a sum of five sinusoidal signals and the temperature is measured with thermocouples placed inside both front and rear metallic plates [Boudenne et al. 2006]. The thermophysical parameters of the sample are identified by comparison of the experimental and theoretical heat transfer functions. The system under study is modeled with one-dimensional quadrupoles theory. The experimental heat transfer function is calculated at each excitation frequency as the ratio between the Fourier-transform temperatures of the front and rear plates. A parameter estimation technique is then applied to estimate simultaneously both thermal conductivity (λ) and diffusivity (a).

144 The identification of the set of thermophysical parameters is a non-linear optimization 145 problem that is solved iteratively: starting with sufficiently accurate initial guesses for 146 the unknown parameters, we successively refine the estimates by using the Levenberg– 147 Marquardt method.

The specific heat capacity (*Cp*) values of the composite samples were determined using
thermal conductivity (λ) and diffusivity (a) values and knowing the density ρ:

 $Cp = \frac{\lambda}{\rho a} \tag{1}$

The pycnometer method was adopted for measuring density of the composites. The measurements are carried out with a balance and a pycnometer for small quantities of different composites. The density of the sample is obtained by using the following equation:

$$\rho = \frac{m_1}{m_2 - m_3 + m_1} \rho_{\text{water}}$$
(2)

where m_1 is the sample weight, m_2 is the weight of the pycnometer filled with water and m_3 is the weight of the pycnometer containing the sample and filled with water. The density of the composites is reported in Table 1. The uncertainty on the density measurement is calculated from the following equation:

161
$$u(\rho) = \rho \sqrt{\frac{u^2(m_1)}{m_1^2} + \frac{u^2(m_T)}{m_T^2} + \frac{u^2(\rho_{water})}{\rho_{water}^2}}$$
(3)

162 Where: $m_T = m_2 - m_3 + m_1$ and $u^2(m_T) = u^2(m_1) + u^2(m_2) + u^2(m_3)$

3.2 Dielectric permittivity measurement

The permittivity measurements were performed at room temperature on the samples by fixing between two copper plates of thickness t = 9 mm. In that case, samples constitute a capacitor with an electrical capacitance noted *C* (See Figure 2). The effective capacitance of samples was measured with a RCL meter using 4 electrodes in order to reduce the effect of the impedance of connectors and cables. The AC voltage applied on samples was fixed to 2V RMS and the measurements of the electrical capacitance were performed using a large frequency range (50 Hz < $f < 10^6$ Hz) without using guarded electrodes. A correction of experimental values was performed in order to take into account the presence of edge effects according to the procedure described in a previous paper [Cecen et al. Submitted]. Considering the geometry of samples used for dielectric measurements, the maximum correction obtained is about 5%. Then relative dielectric permittivity ε_r is computed as follows:

$$\varepsilon_r = \frac{Ce}{\varepsilon_0 D^2} \tag{4}$$

where ε_0 is the vacuum dielectric permittivity ($\varepsilon_0 = 8.85 \times 10^{-12} \text{ Fm}^{-1}$), C the capacitance, e the thickness of samples and D the area of sample under electrode. Relative dielectric permittivity measurements have shown a negligible dependence of permittivity in the whole frequency range of measurements. Besides, there is no significant evolution of the shape of $\varepsilon_r(f)$ curves upon filler loading. In the case where the shape of $\varepsilon_r(f)$ curves is conserved whatever the filler loading, all curves at different fibres concentration must superimpose with the curve of the polypropylene matrix. This allows determining the increase or decrease in dielectric permittivity with respect to the polypropylene matrix.

For each sample, five successive experiments were performed and an average value reported. Uncertainties were computed considering the standard deviation of experimental values of dielectric permittivity and uncertainties of the measurement device and on samples dimensions.

3.3 Differential scanning calorimetry studies (DSC)

The melting and crystallisation behaviour of flax reinforced polypropylene composites
were studied using a Perkin Elmer DSC thermal analyser at a heating rate of 10°C/min
in a nitrogen atmosphere. Temperature range used was from 30°C to 200 °C.

197 The thermal properties such as crystallization temperature (T_c) , melting temperature 198 (T_f) , heat of fusion (ΔH_f) , and percentage of crystallinity (X_c) obtained from DSC 199 studies has been analysed. The crystallinity of the PP component was determined by 200 using the relationship:

$$X_{c} (\% \text{ crystallinity}) = \frac{\Delta H_{f} 100}{\Delta H^{0} w}$$
(5)

202 Where $\Delta H_{f}^{0} = 138$ J/g was taken for 100% crystalline isotactic PP and w is mass fraction 203 of PP in the composite.

205 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Thermophysical properties

207 4.1.1 Effect of fibre loading

Heat transport in non-metals is majorly dependent on conduction of phonons through the polymer matrix and fibres while electrical transport depends on conduction of electrons by percolation mechanism. The phonon scattering that occurs at the interfaces between the fibres [Nakamura & Iji, 2009] influences the thermal properties as well. Therefore, in polymers, crystal or amorphous boundary, defects, the ends and entanglements of the molecular chains can scatter phonons and interfere with the thermal transmittance. The thermo-conductive properties of nonwoven reinforced polymer composites also depend on the nature and fineness of fibres, pore size,

216 distribution of fibres in composites and overall material bulk density [Yachmenev et al.217 2006].

The thermal conductivity and diffusivity of the samples based on fibre loading is presented in Table 1. It can be seen that the incorporation of flax nonwovens in PP matrix results in lowering of thermal conductivity and diffusivity. However as fibre loading increases it can be seen that both thermal conductivity and diffusivity register a slight increase.

At 30% loading, thermal conductivity decreases by almost 20% compared to polypropylene. The flax nonwovens used in the composites tend to be highly porous containing pockets of air which have low thermal conductivity ($\lambda_{air} = 0.0245 \text{ Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{1}$). Moreover natural fibres have a hollow cylindrical structure encompassing a cavity (referred to as lumen) and act as insulating materials which reduces the heat transport characteristics of the composites. Similar behaviour for thermal conductivity has been reported by Paul et al [2008], Li X et al [2008] and Idicula et al [2006] for natural fibre composites. The thermal diffusivity values follow the same trend; fibre reinforced composites exhibit lower values than matrix. This indicates that composites containing flax fibres require longer time to heat or cool than polypropylene.

The slight increase in thermal properties with fibre loading can be attributed to the fact that at higher fibre loadings the compressive forces during molding decreases the presence of these air pockets (thermal contact resistance) and that may lead to a slight increase of thermal transport properties. It is to be noted that the relative thermal

diffusivity uncertainties are more significant than those noted for thermal conductivity.
The specific heat capacity does not show any significant trend upon addition of flax
nonwovens (See Table 1). However at 40% flax fibre weight, the specific heat capacity
of composites decreases by almost 10%.

5 4.2.2. Effect of chemical modification

The effect of coating nonwovens with zein on the thermo-physical properties are given in Table 1. It can be seen that thermal diffusivity and conductivity of treated composite decreases compared to untreated. The amino acid composition of zein [Di Gioia et al. 2000] shows the presence of a number of polar and non-polar constituents. In a model proposed by Argos et al [1982] the structure of zein is composed of helical segments which are arranged in a ring of "pencils" held together, side-by-side, by hydrogen bonds and linked at each end by glutamine-rich turns or loops. The exterior of the helical segments forming the lateral faces have a hydrophobic character, whereas the top and bottom surfaces containing the glutamine-rich loops are hydrophilic. Therefore, zein is amphiphilic in nature having affinity for both polar and non-polar groups. This characteristic allows it to bind itself between the polar flax nonwovens and non-polar matrix and results in enhanced interfacial adhesion which results in reduction of the thermal contact resistance and consequently thermal properties.

Thermal diffusivity and conductivity [Kotkata et al. 1986] are related to velocity and
mean free path of phonons as shown in the following equations [Fujishiro et al, 1997;
Yamanaka, 2005].

$$a = \frac{1}{3}\upsilon l \tag{6}$$

(7)

 $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}C\upsilon l$

where *a* is the thermal diffusivity, λ the thermal conductivity, v the velocity of phonons, *l* is the mean free path of phonons (distance travelled by the phonons between two consecutive collisions) and *C* the heat capacity per unit volume.

It is apparent from equations 6 and 7 that any factor that reduces the velocity and the mean free path of phonons lowers the thermal diffusivity and conductivity. The stronger adhesion in treated composites results in tighter packing within the polypropylene-flax network, which means that mean free path and velocity of the phonons decreases and consequently results in lowering of thermal properties.

Another interesting observation is that as the concentration of zein increases, the thermal properties register an increase. This can be attributed to the differences in interfacial adhesion in the composites which affects the mean free path and consequently thermal properties of the composites. The superior mechanical properties obtained for flax-PP composites when using a concentration of 2% zein has been reported earlier.

The better adhesion in 2% zein treated composites is also evident from the scanning electron micrographic studies. Figures 3a, b and c shows the tensile fracture surface of untreated, 2% treated and 4% treated composites at 30% loading. The presence of cavities is clearly visible in Figure 3a. This indicates that the level of adhesion between the fibers and the matrix is poor, and when stress is applied, it causes the fibers to be

pulled out from the polypropylene matrix easily, leaving behind gaping holes. In Figure 3b, we can see the presence of a number of short, broken fibers projecting out of the polypropylene matrix. This indicates that the extent of adhesion between the fibers and the matrix is greatly improved, and when stress is applied, the fibers break and do not wholly come out of the matrix. Figure 3(c) shows the presence of resin on the fibres indicating interfacial interactions.

4.2.2. Theoretical thermal conductivity models

Many theoretical models have attempted to explain the thermal conductivity of twophased composites [Meredith and Tobias, 1962; Cheng and Vachan, 1969; Hamilton and Crosser, 1962; Agari and Uno, 1986]. Several factors, such as solids loading, particle size or shape, and homogeneity of the dispersed phase in the matrix, etc., were taken into account in heat conduction models. But these models have not always been well correlated with the experimental results.

The simplest alternatives would be with the materials arranged in either parallel or series with respect to heat flow (see Figure 4), which gives the upper or lower bounds of effective thermal conductivity. For the parallel conduction model:

 $\lambda = \varphi \lambda_f + (1 - \varphi) \lambda_m \tag{8}$

303 and for series conduction model:

 $\frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{1-\varphi}{\lambda_m} + \frac{\varphi}{\lambda_f}$ (9)

305 where, λ , λ_m and λ_f are the thermal conductivities of the composite, the matrix and the 306 filler, respectively and φ is the volume fraction of filler.

307 Hashin and Shtrikman [1962] developed models based on macroscopical isotropy and308 quasi-homogeneity of the composite, where the shape of the reinforcement is not a

309 limiting factor. The model initially assumes a homogeneous and isotropic reference
310 material in which the constituents are dispersed. The upper and lower bounds are
311 calculated as:

$$\lambda_{l} = \lambda_{m} + \frac{\varphi}{\frac{1}{\lambda_{f} - \lambda_{m}} + \frac{(1 - \varphi)}{d \lambda_{m}}}$$
(10)

$$\lambda_{u} = \lambda_{f} + \frac{(1-\varphi)}{\frac{1}{\lambda_{m} - \lambda_{f}} + \frac{\varphi}{d\lambda_{f}}}$$
(11)

The indices "*u*" and "*l*" refer to the upper and lower bounds, respectively. The parameter *d* is the dimension of the system and defines the form of the fillers (d = 2 in the case of fibres).

Figure 5 presents a comparison between the experimental values of thermal conductivity of the composites and some theoretical models. All data are plotted as a function of filler volume fraction. Computations were performed using the theoretical thermal conductivity value of the polypropylene matrix and those of the fillers ($\lambda_{\text{flax}} =$ 0.035 W.m⁻¹.K⁻¹ and $\lambda_{PP} = 0.24$ W.m⁻¹.K⁻¹ [Shackleford and Alexander, 2001]). As it is seen in Figure 5, the experimental values are close to the parallel model and the upper bound of Hashin and Shtrikman model. This result is foreseeable because the thermal conductivity was measured in the parallel direction to the plane of the composite plate. So, in this case, the composite can be seen as a stacking of several fibre layers separated by polymeric matrix.

329 4.2 DSC and dielectric measurements

330 4.2.1 Effect of fibre loading and chemical modification

Table 2 presents thermal properties of composites. It is clear that the addition of flax fibres to polypropylene results in an increase in the crystallization temperature (T_c) of the polymer matrix. In the presence of 40% weight fraction of incorporated fibres, the T_c of neat PP increased from ca. 116°C to 120°C. This effect can be explained by the assumption that the fibres act as efficient nucleating agents for the crystallization of PP and consequently increase its crystallization growth rate during the cooling from the molten state. With regard to the melting temperature of the different samples, the addition of the fibres in the PP matrix causes only a marginal effect ($\pm 1^{\circ}$ C), and no essential correlation of the results with the fiber content can be established. However, a comparison of crystallinity (Xc) of neat PP, calculated according to equation (5) for the PP component in the composites, yielded slightly higher values for the 40% flax composite samples than for unfilled PP. This observation can again be explained by the fact that the surface of the flax fibre acts as nucleation sites for the crystallization and partial crystalline growth in PP. It may be assumed that the nucleating effect of the fibre surfaces considerably contributes to the occurrence of transcrystalline layers around the fibres resulting in an increase of the achieved percentage of crystallinity. On comparing the untreated system to the 2% zein treated composites, it can be observed that the crystallinity of the treated composite increases due to the increased nucleating ability of chemically modified fibres. Similar results have been reported by other researchers [Joseph et al. 2003].

2 4.2.2 Dielectric permittivity measurements

The dielectric constant (dielectric or static permittivity) of a material depends upon the polarizability of the molecules. The polarizability of non-polar molecules arises from

electronic polarization (in which the application of applied electric field causes a displacement of the electrons relative to the nucleus) and atomic polarization (in which the application of applied electric field causes a displacement of the atomic nuclei relative to one another). In the case of polar molecules a third factor also comes into play which is orientation polarization (in which the application of applied electric field causes an orientation of dipoles) [Jacob et al. 2006]. The dependence of the relative dielectric permittivity (ε_r) of composites with fibre weight fraction is presented in Figure 6. It can be observed that the reinforcement of polypropylene matrix with flax nonwovens induces an increase of the relative dielectric permittivity. This is because of the fact that polypropylene is a non polar material and has only instantaneous atomic and electronic polarization to account for. The presence of flax nonwovens in polypropylene leads to the presence of polar groups giving rise to dipole or orientation polarizability. The over all polarizability of a composite is therefore the sum of electronic, atomic and orientation polarization giving rise to higher dielectric constant. Hence the dielectric constant increases with the increase in fiber loading. The use of zein coating tends to decrease the dielectric constant even if the magnitude of this effect is small. Zein coating results in formation of linkages between hydroxyl groups of fibres and amine groups in zein which leads to reduction in mobility of free polar groups. This resultant decrease of hydrophilicity of the fibres leads to lowering of orientation polarization and subsequently the dielectric constant.

376 5. CONCLUSIONS

377 Composites were prepared from flax nonwovens and polypropylene matrix. The378 thermophysical properties of the composites were measured as a function of nonwoven

 content and chemical modification. The chemical modification employed was a zein coating on the flax fibres which had the additional advantage of being a biodegradable coupling agent. The increase of fibre content in polypropylene matrix resulted in a decrease of thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity of the composites. Chemical treatment decreased thermal conductivity and diffusivity of the composite compared to untreated composite. This was attributed to increased adhesion leading to decrease in velocity and mean free path of phonons. Further evidence was found in the dielectric studies which revealed a reduced dielectric constant due to lower mobility of polar groups for zein treated composites. DSC studies revealed increase in crystallinity of fibre reinforced composites. This was attributed to the surface of the flax fibres acting as nucleation sites for the crystalline growth. The dielectric constant of the composites increased with fibre content due to increase in orientation polarization brought about by the polar hydroxyl groups present in natural fibres.

1 2	393	References			
3 4	394	1. Agari Y., Uno T. (1986). Estimation on Thermal Conductivities of Filled			
5 6 7	395	Polymers. J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 32, 5705–12			
8 9	396	2. Argos P., Pedersen K., Marks M.D., and Larkins B.A. (1982). A structural model			
10 11 12	397	for maize zein proteins. J. Biol. Chem., 257, 9984-9990			
13 14	398	3. Bhat G.S. (1995) Nonwovens as Three-Dimensional Textiles for Composites.			
15 16 17	399	Materials and Manufacturing Processes, 10, 667-688			
18 19	400	4. Boudenne A., Ibos L., Candau Y. (2006). Analysis of uncertainties on			
20 21 22	401	thermophysical parameters of materials obtained from a periodic method,			
22 23 24	402	Measurement Science and Technology, 17, 1870–1876			
25 26	403	5. Boudenne A., Ibos L., Gehin E., Candau Y. (2004). A simultaneous			
27 28 29	404	characterization of thermal conductivity and diffusivity of polymer materials by a			
30 31	405	periodic method. J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 37,132-133			
32 33 34	406	6. Cecen, R. Tlili, A. Boudenne, L. Ibos, I. Novák and I. Krupa. (2009). Physical			
35 36	407	properties of hot-melt adhesives based on the EVA copolymer filled with nickel			
37 38 39	408	particles, submitted to European Polymer Journal June			
40 41	409	7. Cheng S., and Vachon R. (1969). The Prediction of the Thermal Conductivity of			
42 43 44	410	Two and Three Phase Solid Heterogeneous Mixtures. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 12,			
45 46	411	249-64			
47 48 49	412	8. Di Gioia, L., Cuq, B., Guilbert, S. (2000). Mechanical and water barrier			
50 51	413	properties of corn-protein-based biodegradable plastics. J. Mater. Res, 15, 2612-			
52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62	414	2619.			
63 64		18			
00					

1 2	415	9. Fujishiro, H.; Ikebe, M.; Kashima, T.; Yamanaka, A. (1997).Thermal
3 4	416	Conductivity and Diffusivity of High-Strength Polymer Fibers. J Appl Pol. Phys,
5 6 7	417	36, 5633-5640
8 9	418	10. Hamilton R.L., Crosser O.K. (1962). Thermal Conductivity of Heterogeneous
10 11 12	419	Two-Component Systems. Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam, 1, 187-91
13 14	420	11. Hashin Z., and Shtrikman S. (1962). J. Appl. Phys., 33, 3125-
15 16 17	421	12. Idicula M., Boudenne A., Umadevi L., Ibos L., Candau Y., Thomas S. (2006).
18 19	422	Thermophysical properties of natural fibre reinforced polyester composites.
20 21 22	423	Composites Science and Technology, 66, 2719–2725.
22 23 24	424	13. Jacob M., Varughese K.T., Thomas S. (2006). Dielectric characteristics of sisal-
25 26 27	425	oil palm hybrid biofibre reinforced natural rubber biocomposites. J Mater Sci. 41,
27 28 29	426	5538–5547
30 31	427	14. John M.J., Anandjiwala R.D. (2009). Chemical modification of flax reinforced
32 33 34	428	polypropylene composites. Composites Part A, 40, 442-448
35 36	429	15. Joseph P.V., Joseph K., Thomas S., Pillai C.K.S., Prasad V.S., Groeninckx G.,
37 38 39	430	Sarkissova M. (2003). The thermal and crystallisation studies of short sisal fibre
40 41	431	reinforced polypropylene composites. Composites Part A, 34, 3, 253-266
42 43 44	432	16. Kalaprasad G., Pradeep P., Mathew G., Pavithran C., Thomas S. (2000). Thermal
45 46	433	conductivity and thermal diffusivity analyses of low-density polyethylene
47 48 40	434	composites reinforced with sisal, glass and intimately mixed sisal/glass fibres.
50 51	435	Composites Science & Technology, 60, 2967-2977
52 53	436	17. Kotkata M.F., El-Fouly M.H., S.A. Fayek S.A., & El- Hakim S.A. (1986). The
54 55 56	437	effect of Tl addition on the electrical and thermal transport properties of
57 58 59 60 61 62	438	amorphous As2Se3 Semicond. Sci. Tech., 1, 313-323

18. Li X., Tabil I.G., Oguocha I.N., Panigrahi S. (2008). Thermal diffusivity, thermal conductivity, and specific heat of flax fiber-HDPE biocomposites at processing 6 temperatures. Composites Science & Technology, 68, 1753-1758 9 19. Meredith R.E., Tobias C.W. (1962). Conduction in Heterogeneous Systems; p. 15 11 in Advances in Electrochemistry and Electrochemical Engineering, Edited by C. W. Tobias, Vol. 2. Wiley (Interscience Publishers), New York 20. Momany F.A., Sessa D.J., Lawton J.W., Gordon W. Selling G.W., Hamaker S.A.H., and Willet J.L. (2006). Structural Characterization of α -Zein. J. Agric. Food Chem. 54, 543-547 21. Nakamura A., Iji M., (2009). Enhancement of thermal diffusivity of poly(1-lactic acid) composites with a net-like structure of carbon fibers. J. Mater Sci. 44, 4572-4576 22. Paul S.A., Boudenne A., Ibos L., Candau Y., Joseph K., Thomas S. (2008). Effect of fiber loading and chemical treatments on thermophysical properties of banana fiber/polypropylene commingled composite materials. Composites Part A, 39, 1582-1588 23. Santos W.N. (2007). Thermal properties of polymers by non-steady-state techniques. Polymer Testing, 26, 556-566 24. Santos W.N., Mummery P., Wallwork A. (2005). Thermal diffusivity of polymers by the laser flash technique. Polymer Testing, 24, 628-635 25. Shackelford J.F., Alexander W., (2001) Materials science and engineering handbook. New York: CRC Press 26. Shukla, R., Cheryan, M. (2001). Zein: The industrial protein from corn. Ind. *Crop Prod.* 13, 171–192

1 2	463	27. Wang, Q., Wang, JF., Geil, P.H., Padua, G.W. (2004). Zein adsorption to
3 4	464	hydrophilic and hydrophobic surfaces investigated by surface plasmon resonance.
5 6 7	465	Biomacromolecules, 5, 1356–1361
8 9	466	28. Yachmenev V., Negulescu I., Yan C. (2006). Thermal Insulation Properties of
10 11 12	467	Cellulosic-based Nonwoven Composites. J. Industrial Textiles, 36,73-86
13 14	468	29. Yamanaka A., Yoshokawa M., Abe S., Tsusumi M., Oohazama T., Kitagawa T.,
15 16	469	Fujishiro H., Ema K., Izumi Y., Nisijma S. (2005). Thermal conductivity of high
17 18 19	470	strength polyethylene fiber in low temperature. Journal of Polymer Science: Part
20 21	471	B: Polymer Physics, 43, 2754–2766
22 23 24	472	
$\begin{array}{c} 24\\ 25\\ 26\\ 27\\ 28\\ 30\\ 31\\ 32\\ 33\\ 35\\ 37\\ 38\\ 40\\ 41\\ 43\\ 44\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 4\end{array}$	473	

Fibre weight	λ	а	Ср	
fraction (%)	(W.m⁻¹.K⁻¹)	$(m^2.s^{-1}).10^{-8}$	(J Kg ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)	
0	0.240 ± 0.008	16.60 ± 0.40	1731 ± 85	
20	0.190 ± 0.003	9.81 ± 0.56	1960 ± 231	
30	0.192 ± 0.004	10.80 ± 0.37	1683 ± 135	
40	0.193 ± 0.003	11.13 ± 0.71	1567 ± 205	
2% zein	0.172 ± 0.004	9.72 ± 0.55	1654 ± 203	
4% zein	0.181 ± 0.003	10.36 ± 0.26	1629 ± 97	
6% zein	0.203 ± 0.003	10.70 ± 0.27	1658 ± 103	

Table 1: Experimental values of thermal conductivity (λ), thermal diffusivity (a), and specific heat capacity (Cp) for flax reinforced polypropylene composites

Sample	1 st Cooling curve		1 st Heating curve		X _c (%)
	T_{c} (°C)	$\Delta H_{c} (J/g)$	$T_m (^{o}C)$	$\Delta H_{f}\left(J/g\right)$	
PP	116.5	-56.6	150.1	23.1	16.7
20%	118.5	-41.0	150.7	17.5	15.8
30%	119.8	-37.8	151.5	16.2	16.7
40%	120.2	-36.1	152.5	15.8	19.1
2% Zein (30%)	120.4	-41.1	153.5	18.1	18.7

 Table 2: Melting temperature, crystallisation temperature, enthalpy of fusion

 and crystallisation of composites



Figure 1







Figure 3 (a,b & c)



Figure 4



