

World Spatial Metadata Standards

H. Moellering, H.J.G. Aalders &amp; A. Crane (Editors)

© 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1

# Spatial metadata in Africa and the Middle East

Antony Cooper<sup>a</sup>, Elizabeth J.O. Gavin<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Information and Communications Technology, CSIR, Pretoria, South Africa*  
*e-mail: acooper@csir.co.za*

<sup>b</sup>*National Spatial Information Framework, Pretoria, South Africa*  
*e-mail: lgavin@csg.pwv.gov.za*

## 1. Introduction

With well over 60 different countries in Africa and the Middle East, a review such as this can sketch only the background for understanding the use of spatial data across the region, especially the use of standards for spatial data, including metadata. The region stretches from the Caucasus Mountains in the north down to Antarctica, incorporating a diverse range of terrain and environment: alpine to ocean, tropical to temperate, desert to jungle, rural to urban, land-locked countries to island states. There is also a wide diversity of cultures and languages and varying levels of information and communications infrastructure, resulting in a heterogeneous environment.

This chapter attempts to paint a broad picture of the spatial metadata situation in Africa and the Middle East, through describing briefly the activities in countries and regional bodies across the region, and providing more detail in one or two countries as examples. We describe first some activities that span the whole of the African continent and then, for convenience, we have grouped the summaries by region: western, eastern, northern and southern Africa, and the Middle East. Recording and making available metadata is crucial for increasing access to spatial datasets relevant for policy and decision makers at all levels, for addressing issues such as land reform and administration, environmental management, ensuring food security, alleviating poverty, combating diseases and implementing global conventions.

This chapter draws heavily on the survey of spatial data infrastructures (SDI's) in Africa [Gavin 2000], conducted by the National Spatial Information Framework (NSIF) Directorate in South Africa on behalf of the SDI in Africa Initiative (on-line see <http://www.nsif.org.za/sdiafrica>). In addition, an attempt has been made to solicit information on their spatial metadata activities from all the member countries of the International Cartographic Association (ICA) in Africa and the Middle East (Algeria, Ghana, Guinea, Iran, Israel, Jordan, Madagascar, Morocco, Nigeria, Qatar, South Africa, Sudan, Tanzania, Tunisia, Uganda, Yemen). We have also used the best practices studies of the Environmental Information System Programme for Sub-Saharan Africa (EIS) [EIS 1999].

In addition to considering spatial data organisations, we will also consider specific once-off projects or initiatives, as many of these have a better resource than national organisations and produce legacy base datasets (hopefully with metadata), and consequently have a significant impact. It should be noted that there are also many global initiatives in which countries in Africa are involved, such as Global Map (on-line see <http://www.iscgm.org>), including 19 participating countries in Africa. The resources involved in these initiatives may be significant in comparison with resources invested by countries in their own spatial data collection and management.

## 2. General regional structure for spatial data organisation

There are a number of organisations addressing the need for spatial metadata across Africa, including the United Nations Economic Commission for Africa, the Spatial Data Infrastructure in Africa initiative, EIS-AFRICA and the United Nations Environmental Programme.

### 2.1. United Nations Economic Commission for Africa

In June 1999 in Addis Ababa, the first meeting was held of the Committee on Development Information (CODI) of the United Nations Economic Commission for Africa (UN ECA). CODI, as one of the seven subsidiary bodies of the Commission for Africa, was established in 1997 by the twenty-third meeting of the Conference of African Ministers responsible for Economic and Social Development and Planning. (For further details, including the report from the first meeting, refer to the UN ECA web site, <http://www.uneca.org/>.) CODI provides policy and technical guidance to the ECA's sub-programme 'harnessing information for development'. At the June 1999 meeting, CODI's three sub-committees met in parallel, bringing together several previously separate initiatives to improve co-ordination in the area of development information:

- Sub-committee on Statistics: this replaced the Co-ordinating Committee on African Statistical Development (CASD), the primary task of which was developing the strategy of the Implementation of the Addis Ababa Plan of Action for Statistical Development in Africa in the 1990s;
- Sub-committee on Information and Communication Technologies: this sub-committee focuses on the development of information and communications technology (ICT) infrastructure, embodied by the African Information Society Initiative (AISII), which was adopted at the ECA Conference of Ministers in 1996;
- Sub-committee on Geo-information: known as CODI-GEO, this replaces the previous Regional Cartographic Conference for Africa. The terms of reference agreed upon for CODI-GEO at its first meeting include those of:
  - facilitating capacity building with regard to spatial information capture, management, dissemination and utilisation;
  - promoting the development of standards in these areas and of resources.

Currently, CODI-GEO is compiling a list of successful GIS projects in Africa, with the intention of identifying a few to use as case studies for developing best practices. At its September

1 2001 meeting, CODI-GEO will focus on SDI development, including metadata capturing and  
2 publishing.

### 3 4 **2.2. The Spatial Data Infrastructure in Africa initiative**

5  
6 On 15 July 1999, immediately following the Earth Data Information Systems (EDIS) 1999  
7 Conference held in Pretoria, South Africa, a workshop was convened to consider the devel-  
8 opment of a Spatial Data Infrastructure (SDI) for Africa. It was organised by the Program on  
9 Environment Information System in Sub-Saharan Africa (EIS) and the South African National  
10 Spatial Information Framework (NSIF) Directorate.

11 An interim task team was established, which is conducting an on-going survey of SDI  
12 in Africa [Gavin 2000], co-ordinated by the National Spatial Information Framework Direc-  
13 torate in South Africa. The interim task team has been liaison with CODI-GEO about forming  
14 an African SDI, and organised a meeting on SDI in Africa in Cape Town, South Africa, on  
15 15 March 2000, in association with the 4th Global Spatial Data Infrastructure (GSDI) Con-  
16 ference. At that meeting, two Working Groups were established to address the most critical  
17 issues inhibiting the development of SDI in Africa:

- 18 • Metadata Working Group: led by the Regional Remote Sensing Unit in Harare, Zimbabwe. 18  
19 This group will address issues such as standardisation and the publishing of metadata 19  
20 records; 20
- 21 • Geodetic Working Group: led by the South African Chief Directorate of Surveys and Map- 21  
22 ping in Mowbray, Cape Town. This working group will focus on the development of a 22  
23 sound geodetic network for Africa, with the rekindling of the ADOS project by the IAG. 23

24  
25 The interim task team aims at stimulating the sharing of ideas and participating in other ini- 25  
26 tiatives world-wide (especially GSDI), through establishing and maintaining a network of 26  
27 interested individuals. Significant incentives for an African SDI are to promote investment 27  
28 opportunities (through providing data to assist potential investors), more-informed decision 28  
29 making, trans-border decision support systems (especially for natural resource issues), align- 29  
30 ing regional projects, developing a common understanding amongst countries and disaster 30  
31 mitigation and prevention. The SDI in Africa mailing list is being used to debate these issues. 31

32 Major obstacles include the perceived control of SDI by national mapping agencies, dif- 32  
33 ferent political systems and legacies between countries, lack of awareness of the value of 33  
34 SDI's and spatial information, varying stages of information technology development and the 34  
35 availability of resources, especially money. 35

### 36 **2.3. EIS-Africa**

37  
38 Since its inception in 1990, the goal of the Program on Environment Information Systems 38  
39 (EIS) in Sub-Saharan Africa has been the promotion of information usage in decision-making, 39  
40 particularly within the context of environmental management and sustainable development. 40  
41 The Program is now entering a new phase, in which it will in future operate as a non-profit or- 41  
42 ganisation, under the new name 'EIS-AFRICA: Network for the Co-operative Management of 42  
43 Environmental Information' (on-line see <http://www.grida.no/prog/glob/eis-ssa/index.htm/>). 43  
44 EIS-AFRICA has been registered as an 'association', that is, a non-governmental organisa- 44  
45 tion (NGO), in South Africa. 45

1 Over the past decade, the program has assisted Sub-Saharan African countries to develop 1  
2 operational EIS's in order to meet the most important needs of decision-makers, planners and 2  
3 users of resources, through networking and capacity building efforts. The need to harmonise 3  
4 geo-spatial information collection efforts has been emphasised. EIS-AFRICA aims to play a 4  
5 leading role in continuing to facilitate the strategic development and use of geo-information 5  
6 in support of the effective management of, including monitoring and the reporting on, envi- 6  
7 ronmental resources for furthering development. 7

8 An aspect identified as critical to success in achieving the aim of increased information 8  
9 use in decision-making, is the increased availability and accessibility of policy-relevant in- 9  
10 formation to policy and decision-makers at all levels. The information required for effective 10  
11 environmental management is pertinent also to a variety of other programmes, such as land 11  
12 reform and administration, ensuring food security and poverty alleviation, as well as the im- 12  
13 plementation of global conventions at the local, national sub-regional levels, all require the 13  
14 same basic datasets. Central to accomplishing the goal of readily accessible and relevant in- 14  
15 formation, is the promotion of a unified approach to the development of inter-linked national, 15  
16 sub-regional and continental geo-spatial data infrastructure for Africa, supporting the shar- 16  
17 ing and reuse of data by different users for a variety of applications. Thus EIS-AFRICA will 17  
18 in future be emphasising the need to align standards for digital spatial data, especially with 18  
19 respect to the capturing of metadata and it's publishing. 19

20 In association with the African Organisation for Cartography and Remote Sensing 20  
21 (AOCRS), EIS is involved in organising the Africa GIS meeting, held biennially. This presents 21  
22 an important platform for exchange of knowledge and experience within the geographic in- 22  
23 formation community in Africa. 23

#### 24 **2.4. UNEP-GRID and UNEP.Net** 24

25 25  
26 The United Nations Environmental Programme (UNEP) is, through UNEP-GRID (its Global 26  
27 Resource Information Database), in the process of producing sub-regional State of Environ- 27  
28 ment (SoE) reports for Southern, Western and Central Africa. All of these reports will have 28  
29 associated SoE metadatabases. The most advanced SoE initiative is that for Southern Africa. 29  
30 UNEP's collaborating institution on this initiative is the Regional Remote Sensing Unit of the 30  
31 SADC Food Security Programme in Harare. The unit has already drafted a strategy to de- 31  
32 velop the SoE metadata, for which UNEP will soon provide the necessary funding. The SoE 32  
33 metadata for West Africa will be developed later this year. CEDARE (Centre for Environment 33  
34 and Sustainable Development in the Arab Region and Europe) in Cairo represents another 34  
35 Collaborating Centre of UNEP on environmental assessment and reporting. 35

36 UNEP is aiming to establish UNEP.net (<http://www.unep.net/>) as the authoritative portal 36  
37 for environmental information. A portal for Africa will be developed, and, as with all regional 37  
38 portals, will include metadata and associated spatial datasets. 38

### 39 **3. African regions** 39

#### 40 **West Africa** 40

##### 41 **3.1. West African regional initiative** 41

42 42  
43 43  
44 44  
45 The AGRHYMET Centre in Niamey, Niger focuses on hydrological and meteorological data. 45

1 AGRYHYMET has been involved in capturing a considerable amount of metadata for the 1  
2 Sahelian countries of West Africa. 2

### 3 3.2. Benin 3

4 In Benin, the governmental authorities responsible for the management and distribution of 4  
5 digital spatial data (from remote sensing sources), on behalf of the public sector, are: 5  
6

- 7 • ASECNA: for data with low resolution (e.g.: NOAA and METEOSAT); and 6
- 8 • CENATEL: for data with high resolution (e.g.: SPOT and LANDSAT). 7

9 Both, ASECNA and CENATEL, act as agents for the producers of the data, funnelling re- 8  
10 quests through to them. CENATEL is involved in regional initiatives for establishing SDI's. 9  
11 The private sector, universities and non-governmental organisations use pre-processed digi- 10  
12 tal spatial data for their applications. Currently, the Internet is not used to provide access to 11  
13 spatial data in Benin. 12  
14

15 There is no central budgeting or co-ordination for acquiring digital spatial data of Benin, or 15  
16 for the development of a spatial data infrastructure. Development projects funded by interna- 16  
17 tional organisations continue to be a major source of data. However, progress is being made 17  
18 in sensitising the Executive and Legislative branches of the government about the need for a 18  
19 well-established spatial data infrastructure for Benin. 19  
20

### 21 3.3. Ghana 21

22 The National Framework for Geo-spatial Information Management (NAFGIM) co-ordinates 22  
23 the production and exchange of compatible spatial data. The NAFGIM co-ordinator is based in 23  
24 the Environmental Protection Agency. Five datasets (topography, meteorology, land suitability, 24  
25 land use/land cover and land ownership) are being produced under a government-funded 25  
26 project on Environmental Resources Management Project (GERMP). 26  
27

28 Though some institutions in Ghana have been recording metadata for their data holdings, 28  
29 they have not been doing this in a co-ordinated manner. The result is that much of the data of 29  
30 Ghana that could be shared is either undocumented, or has not been documented to any widely 30  
31 used standard. Data is then distributed mainly in response to requests to suppliers, often in 31  
32 hard copy format. Using the freeware metadata tool CorpsMet95, which complies with the 32  
33 current FGDC metadata standard (on-line see <http://www.fgdc.gov/metadata/>), the Remote 33  
34 Sensing Applications Unit (RSAU) of the University of Ghana has captured the metadata 34  
35 for the land-cover and land-use coverages resulting from the Ghana Environmental Resource 35  
36 Management Project. 36

37 There is a keen awareness of the need to implement metadata standards in Ghana, and with 37  
38 this in mind, the Environmental Protection Agency arranged for the FGDC to run a workshop 38  
39 on metadata in Ghana in August 2000. It is anticipated that metadata will be published through 39  
40 the web site of NAFGIM, presently under construction, before the end of 2001. 40  
41

### 42 3.4. Ivory Coast 42

43 Within the Ivory Coast, government institutions, researchers and academics play an important 43  
44 role in gathering spatial data. There is a degree of co-ordination by governmental institutions 44  
45

1 in this arena. Spatial data are exchanged between individuals in response to requests, and 1  
2 are published in reports. A project to develop a water resource database in order to predict 2  
3 well productivity in the crystalline basement in Ivory Coast is capturing metadata, along with 3  
4 hydrological and rainfall data, as well as crop statistics. However, there is no focus on making 4  
5 this metadata readily available to other potential users of the datasets under development. 5  
6 Spatial data standards are being addressed within the context of the project. 6  
7

## 8 **East Africa** 8

### 9 **3.5. East African regional initiative** 9

10 Plans for a clearinghouse in East Africa were discussed at the Regional Integrated Information 10  
11 Systems (RIIS) Strategy workshop, organised by the Intergovernmental Authority on Devel- 11  
12 opment (IGAD), which was held in Jinja, Uganda, 26–29 July 1999. IGAD’s initiative to 12  
13 develop a regional network of data producers in Eastern Africa is still at a formative stage. 13  
14  
15  
16

### 17 **3.6. Ethiopia** 17

18 In Ethiopia, the main government organisations that are involved in the capture of digital spa- 18  
19 tial data are the Ethiopian Mapping Authority (EMA, on-line see <http://www.telecom.net.et/>), 19  
20 the Ministry of Water Resources and the Ministry of Agriculture. The spatial information 20  
21 captured includes data from satellite imagery, as well as that used in topographic and the- 21  
22 matic mapping. The Geophysical Observatory and Geology Department of the Addis Ababa 22  
23 University also capture spatial data. There is no known private sector involvement, and NGO 23  
24 involvement in this area is limited to capacity building. 24  
25  
26

27 By proclamation, the EMA co-ordinates public programmes involving spatial information. 27  
28 The EMA distributes digital data topographic and thematic data using floppy diskettes, CD- 28  
29 ROM and magnetic tapes. Spatial data are also distributed as part of research reports. 29

30 In June 1999, the Environmental Support Project (ESP), which aims at enhancing data man- 30  
31 agement, was initiated. This project involves the collection of metadata, making the data avail- 31  
32 able to users, developing spatial data standards, developing a legal framework for accessing 32  
33 and distributing data and developing a pricing policy. Currently, the ANZLIC spatial meta- 33  
34 data standard is being used. The metadata are not confined to only the spatial data, but also 34  
35 encompass projects, experts, reports, etc. The ESP will not attempt to create basic datasets 35  
36 of Ethiopia, but rather to enable access to existing spatial data, by providing metadata on 36  
37 CD-ROM’s, in catalogues or over the Internet. The project currently involves the Ministry of 37  
38 Water Resources, the Ministry of Mines and Energy (in particular the Institute for Geological 38  
39 Surveys), the Ministry of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Authority, the EMA and 39  
40 the Ethiopian Science and Technology Commission. The regional offices will be included at 40  
41 a later stage. A Protocol for Inter-Agency Co-operation has been drafted and is in the process 41  
42 of being signed by the participants. By the end of 2000, the Environmental Support Project 42  
43 will have established their Web site. The project is scheduled to finish in February 2003. 43

44 Ethiopia, through the EMA, is participating in the Global Map programme (on-line see 44  
45 <http://www.iscgm.org>). 45

### 1 3.7. Kenya 1

2  
3 There are many government departments in Kenya that deal with spatial data, though none is 3  
4 mandated to be the co-ordinator of such activities. They include the Department of Resource 4  
5 Survey and Remote Sensing (DRSRS), the Kenya Wildlife Service (KWS), the Forestry De- 5  
6 partment, Kenya Marine Fisheries Research Institute (KMFRI), National Museums of Kenya 6  
7 (NMK), Central Veterinary Laboratory (CVL), Department of Natural Resources, and Surveys 7  
8 of Kenya. Three major providers of spatial data within Kenya are Surveys of Kenya, DRSRS 8  
9 and the United Nations Environmental Programme (UNEP), which is based in Nairobi and 9  
10 which provides Internet access to digital data. Few private-sector organisations are involved 10  
11 in digital data capture or application development; they mainly specialise in aerial surveys 11  
12 and map production. There is little effort to incorporate other non-digital information on to 12  
13 the maps. 13

14 Academic institutions involved in managing spatial data include the University of Nairobi, 14  
15 Moi University and Egerton University. The Kenya Polytechnic presents several training 15  
16 courses that include components on GIS and Remote Sensing, and as such, is involved in 16  
17 capturing spatial data, as well as associated metadata, which is made available to potential 17  
18 data users. NGO's that need to use spatial data generally contract universities or private firms 18  
19 to do the work. 19

20 The Kenya wetlands conservation and training programme aims at conserving Kenya's 20  
21 wetlands and building capacity for the management and sustainable utilisation of wetland 21  
22 resources, which includes the mapping of marine and terrestrial wetlands. The Nairobi City 22  
23 Council captures and documents data needed for managing the city. The Nairobi Informal 23  
24 Settlements Co-ordinating Committee (NISCC) co-ordinates a wide spectrum of stakehold- 24  
25 ers. 25

26 In Kenya, there is no agency specifically tasked with the distribution of spatial data, so in 26  
27 general users have to search for what they need. There is also no forum, wherein users can 27  
28 voice their needs for data. Many organisations do not yet capture metadata, but awareness of 28  
29 the need to do so is growing. Kenya's National Environment Secretariat (NES) and the Forest 29  
30 Department were funded to develop a clearinghouse mechanism for Kenya, but this has not 30  
31 yet been implemented. Organisations in Kenya have in the main not yet embraced the need 31  
32 for spatial data standards, and at present, no organisation is mandated to develop standards. 32  
33 33

### 34 3.8. The Seychelles 34

35  
36 The Seychelles GIS Centre was established in 1996 by the Ministry of Land Use and Habi- 36  
37 tat (MLUH), for the purpose of capturing, manipulating and distributing spatial data, as well 37  
38 as linking with other spatial data distributors and users. Other major users and distributors 38  
39 include other divisions in the Ministry, other Ministries, Departments and private firms, in- 39  
40 cluding utilities. A publicly funded orthophoto-mapping project began in 1998, and reached 40  
41 completion in February 2000. A dataset called the National Inventory for Seychelles, includ- 41  
42 ing roads, rivers, land use, topography and cadastre, is under development. 42

43 Spatial data are distributed in hard copy as well as via the MLUH's Intranet to MLUH 43  
44 users. Metadata is collected and made available to spatial data users. Web pages for the GIS 44  
45 Centre are being developed. The MLUH is not currently involved in developing standards or 45

1 policy for spatial data other than a pricing policy. MLUH has links with MAPS in the United 1  
2 Arab Emirates. 2  
3 3  
4 **3.9. Uganda** 4  
5 5  
6 In Uganda, the main government Departments involved in the capture of digital spatial data 6  
7 are the Departments of Surveys and Mapping, Planning, Petroleum Exploration and Water 7  
8 Development. There is no known private sector involvement in digital spatial data capture in 8  
9 Uganda. The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) has been collecting 9  
10 data on feeder roads in areas of their operations but maintenance plans for these data are not 10  
11 clear. From the academic sector, Makerere University Institute of Environment and Natural 11  
12 Resources (MUIENR) has a database on Uganda bio-diversity. 12  
13 Concerning co-ordination of the capture and maintenance of spatial data in Uganda, the 13  
14 Information Section of the National Environment Management Authority (NEMA) has taken 14  
15 the initiative to co-ordinate the capture, maintenance and management of spatial information 15  
16 through providing financial and material support. This arrangement, called the Horizontal 16  
17 Environment Information Network, covers only a few governmental organisations at present 17  
18 and should ideally be broadened should funds become available for this. 18  
19 The Surveys and Mapping Department sells topographic maps from their offices in En- 19  
20 tebbe. The National Biomass Study has a website (<http://www.imul.com/forestry>) for viewing 20  
21 and downloading less-detailed digital data. Digital and paper copies with more-detailed in- 21  
22 formation can also be bought from their office or ordered by other means. The aim of the 22  
23 National Biomass Study, which operates nationally in Uganda, is the provision of reliable and 23  
24 regularly updated data, information and knowledge about land cover and biomass for use by 24  
25 key stakeholders. The National Biomass Study has six datasets covering the whole country: 25  
26 land cover (use), rivers, and roads, gazette areas, administrative units and contours. 26  
27 The metadata concept is new to many organisations in Uganda and no formal or *de facto* 27  
28 standards exist. However, data capturing organisations have records concerning lineage and 28  
29 data quality. 29  
30 The National Biomass Study has a liaison with a regional initiative IGAD's Regional Inte- 30  
31 grated Information Systems (RIIS), although the RIIS is still in a formative stage. 31  
32 32  
33 **3.10. Sudan** 33  
34 34  
35 The Sudan Survey Department will have been transformed by the end of 2000 into the Sudan 35  
36 National Survey Corporation, under the supervision of the Ministry of Survey and Physical 36  
37 Development. It will be the major supplier of spatial data in the Sudan, together with the 37  
38 National Remote Sensing Centre. Sudan is a member of the Regional Centre for Surveying, 38  
39 Mapping and Remote Sensing, which is based in Nairobi, Kenya, and of the East African 39  
40 Section of the Africover Project. 40  
41 Several Ministries and Departments in the Sudan are in the process of setting up the hard- 41  
42 ware and software needed for storing their data digitally. By the end of 2000, it is expected 42  
43 that the Sudan National Survey Corporation and several other organisations in Sudan will 43  
44 be able to organise their metadata in accordance with the international standards specifica- 44  
45 tions. 45



## 1 North Africa 1

### 2 3.11. Morocco 2

3  
4  
5 Several departments are users of spatial data, mostly as hard copy, but increasingly, in a dig- 5  
6 ital format. The two most significant organisations with respect to the creation, management 6  
7 and distribution of digital spatial data at a national level are the Administration for Land Con- 7  
8 servation, the Cadastre and of Cartography (L'administration de la Conservation Foncière, du 8  
9 Cadastre et de la Cartographie) and the Royal Centre for Remote Sensing (Centre Royal de 9  
10 Télédétection Spatiale, CRTS). Other departments involved in the creation of base digital spa- 10  
11 tial data are the Geology Directorate (Direction de la Géologie) and the Statistics Directorate 11  
12 (Direction de la Statistiques). The limited involvement of the private sector focuses largely on 12  
13 the development of specific applications. 13

14 The Department of the Prime Minister is creating a National Council for Geographic In- 14  
15 formation (Comité National de l'Information Géographique, CNIG), that will develop the 15  
16 digital geographic information sector and put in place an institutional framework for the co- 16  
17 ordination of exchange procedures and the dissemination of digital spatial data. Currently 17  
18 the National Council for Cartography (Comité National de Cartographie) deals with aspects 18  
19 relating to mapping, while the National Council for Remote Sensing (Comité National de 19  
20 Télédétection Spatiale), established by the CRTS in 1993, has recorded information concern- 20  
21 ing existent programs and base data developed by different departments. Both these commit- 21  
22 tees' research users' needs and initiate programmes in response to these needs. 22

23 Each institution is responsible for disseminating the data it produces. The CRTS provides 23  
24 information on the availability of digital spatial data and how data may be accessed via its 24  
25 website (<http://www.crts.gov.ma>). A further project underway is an archiving and access sys- 25  
26 tem for digital data, which will be operational in September 2000. 26

27 A study is underway regarding metadata and procedures for access and utilisation of digital 27  
28 spatial data. There is an awareness of the role that the availability of metadata can play in 28  
29 minimising duplication in data capture and ensuring appropriate use of existing data. While 29  
30 standards in general are addressed by a component within the Ministry of Commerce and 30  
31 Industry, which by and large adopts international standards, it is anticipated that the CNIG 31  
32 will form a working group to deal specifically with digital spatial data. 32

### 33 3.12. Algeria 33

34  
35  
36 In Algeria, the National Council for Geographic Information (Comité National de l'Informa- 36  
37 tion Géographique, CNIG), acts as a co-ordinator with respect to the capture and processing 37  
38 of spatial data, digital and analogue. National institutions, which are major spatial data users 38  
39 or producers, are represented on this council. The National Institute for Cartography and Re- 39  
40 mote Sensing is a major data producer, while the National Centre for Spatial Techniques is 40  
41 also involved with processing digital spatial data. There are many other users of spatial data, 41  
42 including universities, research centres and other government departments, such as Agricul- 42  
43 ture and Geology. 43

44 The National Institute for Cartography and Remote Sensing distributes spatial data. The 44  
45 Internet is not used for distributing spatial data at this stage in Algeria. The NCGI is responsi- 45

1 ble for standard development, through its Commission for GIS and standardisation. Currently, 1  
2 there is no liaison with international standard development initiatives. 2

### 3 **Southern Africa** 3

#### 4 **3.13. The Southern African Development Community (SADC)** 4

5  
6 There are currently 14 member states of SADC, several of which do not, from a geographical 5  
7 perspective, really form part of 'southern' Africa. The member states are: Angola, Botswana, 6  
8 Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), Lesotho, Malawi, Mozambique, Mauritius, Namibia, 7  
9 Seychelles, South Africa, Swaziland, Tanzania, Zambia and Zimbabwe. There are many 8  
10 SADC programmes, one of which is the Food Security Programme. There are also other 9  
11 regional initiatives covering subsets of countries within SADC, such as the Regional Tsetse and 10  
12 Trypanosomosis Control Programme (RTTCP), which involves the countries of Zimbabwe, 11  
13 Zambia, Mozambique and Malawi, with the Zimbabwean Ministry of Agriculture as the lead 12  
14 agency. 13

15  
16 The secretariat for the SADC Food Security Programme is based at the Regional Remote 14  
17 Sensing Unit (RRSU) in Harare, Zimbabwe. The RRSU is a centre of technical expertise, 15  
18 which provides training and technical support in the fields of remote sensing and GIS, to 16  
19 advance both early warning systems for food security and natural resources management. 17  
20 The RRSU operates largely on funding provided by the SADC Member States, which will 18  
21 continue for an indefinite period. In addition to SADC funding, the RRSU will receive some 19  
22 funding from the Netherlands Government, until June 2001. The RRSU has links with South 20  
23 Africa's National Spatial Information Framework (NSIF), and has also been active in GSDI's 21  
24 Technical Working Group, contributing to the SDI cookbook it is publishing. 22

23  
24 There are many role-players with respect to digital data within the SADC region. In general, 23  
25 one would find the following major role players within each country within the region: 24

- 25 • governmental departments: typically the Office of the Surveyor General, Central Statistical 25
- 26 Offices, National Meteorological Department (Weather Bureau), Department of Agriculture 26
- 27 or equivalents; 27
- 28 • universities and other research institutes; 28
- 29 • organisations, especially a range of UN organisations such as FAO, WFP and UNEP; but 29
- 30 also WWF, FEWS (USAID) and the Red Cross; 30
- 31 • national and international NGO's. 31

32  
33 Spatial data would most often be distributed within the region in hard-copy format, often as 32  
34 a component of a report. In general, there is no centralised system for distributing data in 33  
35 a digital format, although a number of initiatives do exist. Data are distributed mainly on 34  
36 CD-ROM, with the provision of some information via the Internet. 35

37  
38 The RRSU maintains comprehensive metadata on datasets it compiles, which cover the 36  
39 entire SADC region. In 1999 these metadata records were captured using MetaLite, an 37  
40 FGDC-compliant metadata-capturing tool (on-line see <http://www.fgdc.gov/metadata/>), and 38  
41 published through the clearinghouse administered by NSIF in South Africa. The RRSU is 39  
42 also involved in developing spatial data standards and framing policy relating to the accessing 40  
43 and distribution of spatial data. The RRSU regards the development of standard data (transfer) 41  
44 42  
45 43  
44  
45

1 formats and operation data policies as critical factors for the successful development of SDI 1  
2 in the region. 2

3 The Environment Resource Centre for Southern Africa also based in Harare, Zimbabwe, 3  
4 runs the Environment Reporting Programme for Southern Africa, covering the SADC re- 4  
5 gion. There is a focus on raising awareness of environmental issues and influencing policy 5  
6 and decision-making. The Centre is affiliated to UNEP-GRID, and interacts with the RRSU, 6  
7 SIRDC, CSIR (based in South Africa), State of Environment reporting practitioners and the 7  
8 offices of the Surveyors General within the region. Data are collected at the SADC, national 8  
9 and river basin level. Metadata is also captured and is made available to spatial data users. 9

### 10 3.14. SAFARI 2000 10

11 SAFARI 2000 is a regional science initiative in southern Africa to study land-atmosphere 11  
12 processes and emissions (biogenic, pyrogenic or anthropogenic), and the consequences of 12  
13 their deposition to bio-geophysical and bio-geo-chemical systems. It builds on a num- 13  
14 ber of existing activities undertaken by the National Aeronautical and Space Administra- 14  
15 tion (NASA), the international community and African countries. Data for SAFARI 2000 15  
16 are being gathered from ground-based and airborne platforms, as well as earth observ- 16  
17 ing satellites such as the NASA EOS Terra platform. The project covers: terrestrial ecol- 17  
18 ogy, land use and land cover change, aerosols and trace gas chemistry and transport, 18  
19 surface radiation, cloud characterisation and radiation effects and modelling (on-line see 19  
20 <http://www.wits.ac.za/fac/engineering/civil/Safari/index.html>). 20  
21 21

22 The key outputs from the project are a database of all known emissions in the region for sev- 22  
23 eral key pollutants and with models that can predict where the pollutants go and how they are 23  
24 chemically altered. The project team has recognised how crucial it will be to record metadata 24  
25 for all the datasets, to prepare data for synthesis and analysis and to archive and distribute data 25  
26 after the completion of the SAFARI 2000 project. A metadata editor on the Internet has been 26  
27 developed to allow participants to capture their metadata, critical to the design of this tool 27  
28 has been the realisation that not all researchers will have access to high-speed Internet connec- 28  
29 tions. The tool also allows researchers to keep their metadata private until their datasets are 29  
30 ready for publication. Once captured, the metadata records are loaded onto NASA's metadata 30  
31 search facility hosted by the Oak Ridge National Laboratories [SAFARI 2001]. 31  
32 32  
33 33

### 34 3.15. South Africa 34

35 In 1997, resources were set aside by the Department of Land Affairs to develop the National 35  
36 Spatial Information Framework (NSIF), South Africa's SDI building programme. The aim of 36  
37 the programme is the establishment of a technical and policy framework, enabling unimpeded 37  
38 access to and utilisation of spatial information for effective and efficient governance, plan- 38  
39 ning and decision making, through all spheres of government. This includes the provision of 39  
40 systems supporting access to key spatial information. Like similar initiatives elsewhere, along 40  
41 with standard development and the framing of policy and institutional arrangements, there is 41  
42 a strong focus on the development of a clearinghouse, and as part of this, the capturing and 42  
43 publishing of standardised metadata. 43  
44 44  
45 45

1 Currently an FGDC-type clearinghouse, termed the Spatial Data Discovery Facility (SDDF, 1  
 2 on-line see <http://www.nsif.org.za/>), makes available close to 3000 metadata records, includ- 2  
 3 ing records pertaining to datasets covering the whole SADC region. These records correspond 3  
 4 to spatial data holdings within both the public and private sectors in South Africa. A metadata 4  
 5 standard was *de facto* imposed through the provision of free metadata capturing tools, both 5  
 6 MetaLite and a web-based system for capturing metadata. South Africa has been a pioneer in 6  
 7 the development of standards for spatial data [Cooper 1991], and work is proceeding on de- 7  
 8 veloping a national standard for metadata, through the profiling of the international standard, 8  
 9 ISO 19115 [ISO 19115], amongst other standards [Gavin 2001]. 9

### 10 3.16. Lesotho 10

11 The major spatial data producers and users in Lesotho include several government depart- 11  
 12 ments and agencies, such as the Departments of Environment, Statistics, Lands and Survey, 12  
 13 the Lesotho Highlands Development Authority and the National Environment Secretariat. 13  
 14 Other role players are the SADC Water Sector and SADC Environment and Land Manage- 14  
 15 ment Sector, both of which are based in Lesotho. 15  
 16 16  
 17 17

18 Currently spatial data are distributed using CD-ROM and floppy diskettes, as well as in 18  
 19 the form of hard-copy maps. While there is not yet any formal policy concerning spatial data 19  
 20 access and distribution, informal agreements between users and suppliers are usually entered 20  
 21 into ensuring that information concerning any updating or modification to the datasets will be 21  
 22 provided to the parties concerned. 22

23 The Committee on Environmental Data Management (CEDAMA) was established in Feb- 23  
 24 ruary 1999 to advise the National Environmental Secretariat on issues pertaining to environ- 24  
 25 mental data management. These include fostering institutional arrangements that will support 25  
 26 co-ordination of efforts in gathering and managing spatial data, as well as researching poli- 26  
 27 cies and standards to promote the sharing of spatial information and raising an awareness 27  
 28 of the importance of spatial data for Lesotho. CEDAMA is involved in collecting metadata 28  
 29 and making metadata available to potential data users. South Africa's NSIF participated in a 29  
 30 workshop in Lesotho in 1999 to share its experiences, and a follow up workshop is planned 30  
 31 to establish a clearinghouse for spatial data, following the capturing of metadata on spatial 31  
 32 data. 32  
 33 33

### 34 3.17. Botswana 34

35 In Botswana the major producers, distributors and users of spatial data include several gov- 35  
 36 ernment departments such as: 36  
 37 37

- 38 • Department of Surveys and Mapping (DSM); 38
- 39 • Department of Town and Regional Planning; 39
- 40 • Department of Lands, Housing and Local Government; 40
- 41 • Department of Geological Survey and Mining; 41
- 42 • Department of Agriculture and Water Affairs; 42
- 43 • Department of Wildlife, Conservation and Tourism, 43
- 44 44

45 as well as parastatals, i.e., quasi-governmental organisations, such as: 45

- 1 ● the Botswana Power Corporation;
- 2 ● Water Utilities Corporation;
- 3 ● Botswana Telecommunications.

4 DSM is responsible for creating the Botswana National Atlas and the National Integrated  
5 GIS (to be completed in 2004). As the major producer and user of spatial information, DSM  
6 plays an informal co-ordinating role with respect to publicly funded projects relating to spatial  
7 information.

8 DSM does collect metadata, and makes this available to potential spatial data users. DSM  
9 is also involved in developing standards for digital spatial data in general. Botswana, through  
10 DSM, is also participating in the Global Mapping Project.

### 12 3.18. *Namibia*

14 The Surveyor General and the National Remote Sensing Centre, falling within the Ministry  
15 of the Environment and Tourism, are the major data producers in Namibia. Metadata is cap-  
16 tured as a matter of course. The private sector is also active in producing spatial data. Often  
17 spatial data are distributed in the form of paper maps. A body to co-ordinate the gathering and  
18 management of spatial data within the public sector was established recently.

19 The State of Environment Reporting Programme (SOER) operates from the Department  
20 of Environmental Affairs and promotes sustainable development. Spatial data are distributed  
21 largely in response to individual requests. There is liaison with other SOER programmes in  
22 the SADC region. Metadata is collected and made available to data users, as is the case for  
23 similar programmes running throughout the region.

### 25 3.19. *Zambia*

27 The role-players in spatial data production, distribution and maintenance, as well as the ma-  
28 jor users within Zambia, include national planning units, local government institutions, pilot  
29 communities for the Environmental Support Programme (ESP), donors, researchers and aca-  
30 demic institutions. The Environmental Information Network and Monitoring System, which  
31 operates within the Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources, aims to provide action-  
32 oriented environmental information system and decision support tools to various stakeholders,  
33 providing them with better access to information on environmental issues and plans (on-line  
34 see: <http://www.menr.gov.zm>). Metadata on datasets such as those pertaining to air and water  
35 quality, wildlife distribution and soil degradation, is collected and made available to users.

36 An Environmental Information System Forum is involved in co-ordinating publicly funded  
37 programmes involving spatial information. Spatial data are distributed using websites and  
38 on CD-ROM, but also through publications such as newsletters and conference proceedings,  
39 meetings and electronic billboards.

## 40 Middle East

### 42 3.20. *Saudi Arabia*

44 Today, the scope of geographic information activities in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia encom-  
45 passes: strategic applications, the planning and management of infrastructure, agriculture,

1 environment, industries, health, education, communication, telecommunication, electricity, 1  
2 transportation, roads and highway development, census, coastal studies, engineering, natural 2  
3 and human resources, national economy, general welfare, geology, land use analysis, urban 3  
4 and rural planning, mineral exploration, sand control (desertification), water resources assess- 4  
5 ment, hydrographic studies, tourism, oil spill monitoring and many other applications and 5  
6 future planning. Therefore, the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia became a member of the ISO/TC 6  
7 211 in 1998 and supports several research and development projects. One of these projects is 7  
8 the development of Geographic Information Infrastructure. This project revolves around the 8  
9 development of long-term strategy for national geographic information infrastructure (SNGII) 9  
10 design. It includes the implementation of geographic information standards, data documenta- 10  
11 tion, metadata standards, national geographic information clearinghouses, procedures, policy 11  
12 institutional, technical issues, and other issues effecting the collection, processing, display, 12  
13 storage, distribution, availability, reliability and accessibility of correct, up-to-date geographic 13  
14 information in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. 14

15 In the near future, the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia hopefully will create the infrastructure 15  
16 necessary for providing rapid access to accurate and current geographic information at the 16  
17 national level. The Data documentation and metadata standards, which will be used in the 17  
18 Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, will follow very closely the ISO/TC 211 standards (with certain 18  
19 modifications to suite the country). 19  
20

### 21 **3.21. Israel** 21 22

23 Geo-related standards in Israel are one of the responsibilities of the Survey of Israel, under 23  
24 governmental resolutions in 1989 and 1993. Work on the Israeli metadata standard started 24  
25 in 1991, as part of the then newly developed data transfer standard (IEF'91). The basic idea 25  
26 was to supply each of the features in the databases and transferred datasets with 'source' 26  
27 information. Thus, each of the features (objects, entities) within the National GIS databases 27  
28 was provided with an additional attribute: 'Source-ID'. This was an integer value serving as 28  
29 a pointer to a relate table. In this table, for each entry is stored metadata including the date 29  
30 of acquisition, method of acquisition, positional accuracy and supplier ID. Thus, when most 30  
31 of the data were acquired by photogrammetric mapping, all features in a large area received 31  
32 the same source-code entry. It is important to notice that all metadata was focused on spatial 32  
33 information. 33

34 In 1995, when National GIS was established, some non-spatial data were added to the basic 34  
35 spatial data. In addition, some government ministries began to develop their own value-added 35  
36 layers, based on the 11 topographic layers generated by the Survey. In order to co-ordinate all 36  
37 these databases into the envisaged national GIS, the Survey began to produce a Spatial Data 37  
38 Index. At this time, it was realised that the next step should be the adoption of an independent 38  
39 metadata standard. The idea was to wait for the ISO standard and to generate an appropriate 39  
40 Israeli profile. By 1998, it was clear that ISO would not finish the work in time and work 40  
41 began in October 1998 to develop an Israeli metadata standard. 41

42 The Israeli Metadata Standard (Format) IMF2000 is aimed at establishing the framework 42  
43 and mechanism for transferring information related to spatial data. Originally, it was launched 43  
44 to supplement spatial data offered, by the Survey of Israel, from the National GIS databases. 44  
45 Finally, the proposed standard was developed to accommodate any spatial data regardless of 45

1 their origin, format or domain (vector, raster or textual). In addition, the standard was devised 1  
2 as an instrument of spatial data marketing and distribution. Thus, the standard was divided 2  
3 into separate chapters that each accommodate various aspects of the description and quality 3  
4 definition of the spatial data in terms of spatial accuracy, completeness and currentness, and 4  
5 information related to availability of information and its accessibility. The major motivation 5  
6 in the development and the emphasis of the separate structure of individual chapters was to 6  
7 accommodate many optional models and a variety of data without using separate profiles, but 7  
8 rather various parts (chapters) of the common standard. 8

9 The standard is in its final stage of approval. The final draft has been tested and is adopted 9  
10 officially in December 2000, with a mandatory review in October 2001. The additional options 10  
11 embedded in the metadata standard required the Survey to upgrade its transfer standard (after 11  
12 10 years of usage), again, to accommodate metadata information within the framework of the 12  
13 transfer standard. Thus, the later will enable the transfer of free text attributes and encoded 13  
14 metadata information, as well as the spatial and other non-spatial information. 14  
15

#### 16 **4. Summary and conclusions** 16

17 Africa and the Middle East are entering a period in which one may expect rapid growth in 17  
18 the capturing and publishing of spatial metadata. Many countries are poised to formalise a 18  
19 co-ordinated approach towards the development of their spatial data infrastructures. As a focus 19  
20 on metadata, almost invariably forms a part of the strategy towards developing spatial data 20  
21 infrastructures. It is inevitable that this will reinforce the need to capture and publish spatial 21  
22 metadata in a standardised way. The growth in international co-ordination through regional 22  
23 and even global initiatives will reinforce the progress towards the standardisation of metadata 23  
24 capture and publishing. 24  
25

26 It should be noted that there are a number of challenges impeding the implementation of 26  
27 spatial data infrastructures in many countries in the region. These include political priorities 27  
28 not supporting spatial data infrastructure development, a lack of national mapping or SDI 28  
29 policies. But also, national mapping agencies steeped in tradition whose products are still 29  
30 paper-based, difficulties with obtaining inter-agency co-operation, few standards and poor 30  
31 compliance to them, and the lack of resources and skilled personnel [Clarke 2001]. However, 31  
32 these impediments are hardly unique to the region and are also to be found, to some degree at 32  
33 least, in many countries in more developed regions. 33  
34

35 These trends towards the co-ordination of efforts to develop spatial data infrastructure, 35  
36 coincide with the completion of the ISO metadata standard. Nevertheless, this fact augurs well 36  
37 for the development of systems supporting the meaningful comparison of spatial metadata 37  
38 held within different metadata repositories, as these are likely to be developed in alignment 38  
39 with ISO guidelines. Further, many current metadata repositories in Africa have already been 39  
40 developed within the ambit of FGDC standards. This can, in no small measure, be attributed 40  
41 to the availability of free, easy-to-use tools to capture metadata to FGDC standards. 41

42 The provision of a tool (or platform-dependent tools) to capture metadata to ISO stan- 42  
43 dards would, in all likelihood, greatly encourage the wide usage of this standard, especially 43  
44 by nations or regional initiatives lacking resources to develop their own standards and cap- 44  
45 turing tools. Work has already begun on the development of an ISO equivalent of MetaLite 45

[FGDC/NSIF 2001]. There is also likely to be a tool available in the future to migrate legacy metadata, captured according to FGDC standards, to the format of ISO 19115 [Pearsall 2001].

## Acknowledgement

We would like to acknowledge the many scientists in Africa and the Middle East who provided information on metadata activities in their countries and regions, which we have used in compiling this overview. We would also like to acknowledge the support of our organisations, which made this work possible.

We would be appreciative of the efforts of Orlando Nino-Fluck of UN ECA, Jacob Gyamfi-Aidoo, then of EIS Africa, Amashani Reddy and Dirk Boon both then of the NSIF Directorate, who collected information, arranged the translations of the questionnaire into French, distributed the questionnaires, collated and analysed the responses, and translated the French responses into English. Without their contribution, this chapter could not have been written and would not have been the same.

## References

- [Clarke 2001]  
*Global spatial information issues and challenges and opportunities for developing nations*  
 D.G. Clarke, August 2001, 20th International Cartographic Conference, Beijing.
- [Cooper 1991]  
*The South African standard for the exchange of digital geo-referenced information*  
 A.K. Cooper and Clarke, D.G. 1991.  
 in: H. Moellering (ed.), *Spatial database transfer standards: current international status*, International Cartographic Association and Elsevier Applied Science, pp. 154–168, ISBN 1-85166-677-X.
- [EIS 1999]  
*Best practices of Environmental Information Systems (EIS): the case of Zimbabwe*  
 N. Mbudzi, Y. Jairoso, C. Vogel and D. Bohnet, 1999. <http://www.grida.no/eis-ssa/products/zimbabwe/index.htm> (Accessed 29 March 2001).
- [Sylla 1999]  
*Les expériences en matière de Systèmes d'Information sur l'Environnement en Afrique Sub-Saharienne Cas du Sénégal*  
 C.I. Sylla, I.A. Wade, P. Hengue and P. Gerbe, 1999.  
<http://www.grida.no/eis-ssa/products/senegal/index.htm> (Accessed 29 March 2001).
- [FGDC/NSIF 2001]  
*An overview of Spatial Data Infrastructure in Africa*,  
 D.D. Nebert and C. Crawford, March 2001, private communication.
- [Gavin 2000]  
 E.J.O. Gavin, March 2000, GSDI 4, Cape Town; On-line see: web site: <http://www.gsdi.org/conferences> (Accessed: March 29, 2001).
- [Gavin 2001]  
*Developing South African Standards Based on ISO 19100*  
 E.J.O. Gavin, March 2001. ISO/TC 211 Workshop on Standards in Action, Lisbon.



- 1 [ISO 19115] 1  
 2 *Geographic Information/Geomatics: Metadata* 2  
 3 ISO/TC 211. 3  
 4 [Mensah 2000] 4  
 5 *The Need For Developing A Standardised Meta-Data For Spatial Datasets In Ghana* 5  
 6 F.K. Mensah, March 2000, GSDI 4, Cape Town. 6  
 7 [Pearsall 2001] 7  
 8 *US Federal Geographic Data Committee ISO 19115 Harmonization Activities* 8  
 9 R. Pearsall, March 2001, ISO/TC 211 Workshop on Standards in Action, Lisbon. 9  
 10 [SAFARI 2001] 10  
 11 *SAFARI 2000: A Southern African Regional Science Initiative* 11  
 12 On-line see: web page: <http://www.wits.ac.za/fac/engineering/civil/Safari/index.html> (Accessed 14 March, 2001). 12  
 13 13  
 14 14  
 15 15

Organisation	For on-line contact see:
CRTS, Morocco	<a href="http://www.crt.s.gov.ma">http://www.crt.s.gov.ma</a>
EIS-AFRICA	<a href="http://www.grida.no/prog/glob/eis-ssa/index.htm/">http://www.grida.no/prog/glob/eis-ssa/index.htm/</a>
Environmental Information Network and Monitoring System, Zambia	<a href="http://www.menr.gov.zm">http://www.menr.gov.zm</a>
EMA Ethiopia	<a href="http://www.telecom.net.et/">http://www.telecom.net.et/</a>
FGDC metadata standard, U.S.A.	<a href="http://www.fgdc.gov/metadata/">http://www.fgdc.gov/metadata/</a>
Global Map (programme)	<a href="http://www.iscgm.org">http://www.iscgm.org</a>
National Biomass Study	<a href="http://www.imul.com/forestry">http://www.imul.com/forestry</a>
SAFARI 2000	<a href="http://www.wits.ac.za/fac/engineering/civil/Safari/index.html">http://www.wits.ac.za/fac/engineering/civil/Safari/index.html</a>
SDDF, South Africa	<a href="http://www.nsif.org.za/">http://www.nsif.org.za/</a>
SDI in Africa Initiative	<a href="http://www.nsif.org.za/sdiafrica">http://www.nsif.org.za/sdiafrica</a>
UN ECA	<a href="http://www.uneca.org/">http://www.uneca.org/</a>
UNEP.net	<a href="http://www.unep.net/">http://www.unep.net/</a>

27 URL's in this chapter are accessed on July 02, 2002, unless otherwise stated in the text.  
 28  
 29  
 30  
 31  
 32  
 33  
 34  
 35  
 36  
 37  
 38  
 39  
 40  
 41  
 42  
 43  
 44  
 45