

**Safety in Mines Research Advisory Committee**

**Final Project Report**

**The application of a routine moment  
tensor inversion capability in the  
development of a new design  
consideration for the stability of  
foundations of stabilising pillars in deep  
level gold mines and pillars in  
intermediate depth hard rock mines**

**L.M. Linzer, B.P. Watson, J.S. Kuijpers  
& E. Acheampong**

**Research agency: CSIR: Division of Mining Technology**

**Project number: GAP 604**

**Date: March 2002**

**Report number: 2002-0289**

# Executive Summary

Results of SIMRAC project GAP 223 showed that stabilizing pillar-related back area seismicity was not related to the width of the pillar, nor to the dip spans of the stopes supported by the pillar. Pillar associated seismicity initiated in the back area at Western Deep Levels when the APS was, on average, 1.2 times the UCS of the footwall rock. Earlier work showed that pillar foundation (footwall and hangingwall) associated seismicity occurred in the range 65m – 95m behind the face. In both of these cases, the APS was not the full load that the pillar would receive. It was hypothesized that these histories of “foundation failure”, are, rather, symptoms of the yielding of the pillar foundation system. Thus the point of interest for design purposes could lie in the yield point of the pillar foundation.

The aim of this project therefore was to use a moment tensor inversion technique to establish design criteria for the prediction of the yield point of stabilizing pillar/foundation system in deep level gold mines.

The project consisted of geotechnical and geomechanical analysis of pillar and their foundations, underground instrumentation and monitoring of pillars and their foundations, numerical analysis of pillar punching and foundation failure mechanisms, seismic analysis of failure mechanisms, development of moment tensor inversion program and verification of the hybrid moment tensor inversion technique.

Geomechanical and geotechnical analyses were undertaken to determine the rock mass condition of *in situ* pillars at Driefontein (dip pillar with backfill) and Tau Tona (strike pillar with backfill) mines. A comparison of the two sites showed similarities in the jointing system. However, the general rock mass conditions in the stope hangingwall of Tau Tona appeared to be better than the Driefontein site.

To allow for characterization of the pillars and rock mass and to determine any deformations caused by stress changes or seismicity, the two sites were monitored.

Detailed numerical modelling work employing FLAC was done to further improve the understanding of foundation failure mechanisms. The dominating effects of boundaries and geological discontinuities on the performance of pillar systems were demonstrated. It was shown that axi-symmetrical punch tests are not representative of typical pillar layouts and a strong need for more realistic laboratory tests has been identified. It was found that typical strength parameters such as friction and cohesion are not sufficient to determine the yield point of a stabilizing pillar system. The post-failure properties need to be accounted for and this, from a practical point of view, is not feasible with current models and techniques. It was also established that in determining the capacity of pillar systems, it is important to detect and analyse the weakest components in the system, which in this case, are bedding planes, joints, faults and weak layers. This may explain the large deformations experienced in the Driefontein pillars.

Using seismic data gathered from the monitored sites, analyses were performed to further improve the understanding of failure mechanisms at the East Driefontein dip-pillar site. The data consisted of waveforms recorded by a micro-seismic system (a blackbox network) installed by Miningtek and of seismograms recorded by the mine-wide seismic system (an ISS network).

Analysis of the spatial and temporal distributions of the events recorded by the micro-seismic network revealed that the GMM data could be grouped into two clusters: a cluster located within

the dip-pillar, and a cluster to the west of the pillar in the abandoned stope that had mined up to the pillar position. Most (58%) of the cluster within the pillar located in the footwall and advanced with the mining face in a face-parallel fashion. Slightly more than half (50%) of the events in the abandoned area were located in the footwall and in a band sub-parallel to the fault system mapped in the area. When the events were filtered in time, it was observed that during the early part of the time sequence, most of the events located in the forming dip-pillar. During the later parts of the time-sequence, the events were no longer confined to the dip pillar, but also located to the west of the pillar in the abandoned working place. These observations possibly lead to the conclusion that the previously clamped fault zone became unclamped towards the end of the formation of the pillar. It is possible that for this site and fault geometry a 'critical pillar width' exists: for widths greater than the critical width, the faults remain clamped, and below the critical width, faults may slip.

The same set of seismograms recorded by the mine-wide seismic system was processed on the mine using the ISS software and then reprocessed using AURA, the seismogram processing analysis program written by CSIR Miningtek. It was found that the magnitudes computed using AURA were substantially larger than those computed using the ISS software, whereas comparisons of energy and moment showed the same order of variation as noted by Richardson & Jordan (2001).

Hybrid moment tensor inversions were performed on two clusters of events. The first cluster analysed consisted of 19 events having relatively large magnitudes ( $ML > 1.8$ ) recorded in the East Driefontein study area from 1 August 2001 onwards. The second cluster of 101 events was recorded in the vicinity of the East Driefontein dip-pillar from 1 January 2001 to 19 November 2001. The events recorded from 9 to 15 November 2001 were of special interest because these events occurred at the time a jump in the extensometer measurements was observed.

When the fault-plane solutions of the cluster of 19 events were compared with the geological trends, joint orientations, face and pillar geometry, it was found that 8 of the 19 fault-plane solutions could be correlated with the trend of the dyke located 200 m to the west of the pillar line. Since the mining-faces are sub-parallel to the joints and trend of the dyke, it is possible that these geological features became unstable due to mining, resulting in the large events. Four events located to the north of the dip pillar had similar radiation patterns and fault-plane solutions that correlated with the trends of the faulting observed in the area.

Of the 101 moment tensor solutions, over half had radiation patterns indicating strike-slip displacement inferring that the driving stress for these seismic events was sub-horizontal. The high-k-ratio measured in the area and strike-slip patterns indicated that the ambient tectonic stress field played a large role in driving slip on planes of weakness.

A computer program, 'the moment tensor inversion toolbox', was developed to perform moment tensor inversions routinely. The program is described in a general sense and the phases in its development are outlined. The several phases of development made to the program during the course of the project are summarised. The program structure, input data file structure are described and the equations implemented in the code are given.

Finally, the hybrid moment tensor inversion technique was verified to assess the performance of the algorithm under various conditions. Several stability tests using synthetic data were carried out, following which the hybrid MTI methods were applied in a case study situation.

As part of this work, and attached as a separate document, a methodology and guidelines has been developed that allows the determination of which two nodal planes, obtained from moment tensor inversion, is the most likely fault plane.

# Acknowledgements

Acknowledgement is made to Dr. S. Spottiswoode of Miningtek for his technical guidance.

# Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....	2
Acknowledgements.....	4
Table of Contents.....	5
List of Figures.....	8
List of Tables.....	16

1	<b>Geotechnical and geomechanical analysis of the core retrieved from the extensometer boreholes .....</b> Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.1	Introduction..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
1.2	Methods used in the evaluation..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
1.3	Driefontein site..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
1.3.1	Geotechnical assessment of the hangingwall ... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
1.3.2	Geotechnical assessment of the extensometer boreholes <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
1.3.3	Results of the geomechanical testing .....
1.3.4	Overview of the geotechnical and geomechanical results <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
1.4	Tau Tona site .....
1.4.1	Geotechnical assessment of the immediate hangingwall <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
1.4.2	Results of the geomechanical testing .....
1.5	Comparisons between the geotechnical and geomechanical aspects of the Driefontein and Tau Tona sites .....
2	<b>Underground instrumentation .....</b> Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.1	Driefontein site..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
2.1.1	Introduction .....
2.1.2	Description of the instrumentation site .....
2.1.3	Overview of the instrumentation results .....
2.1.4	Detailed analysis of results between 9 and 15 November 2001 <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
2.1.5	Observations made at the instrumentation site at the end of the project <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>

2.1.6	Interpretation of the instrumentation results between 9 and 15 November 2001 <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
2.2	Tau Tona site ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
2.2.1	Introduction ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
2.2.2	Description of the instrumentation site ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
2.2.3	Overview of the instrumentation results ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
2.2.4	Detailed analysis of the results between 8 and 13 February 2002 <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
2.3	Comments on the two instrument sites ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
3	<b>Pillar punching (foundation failure) mechanisms</b> <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
3.1	Introduction ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
3.2	Punch strength ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
3.2.1	Large scale strength ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
3.2.2	Plane Strain..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
3.2.3	Large scale plane strain strength ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
3.2.4	Effect of boundary conditions in plane strain .... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
3.2.5	Numerical modeling results ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
3.2.6	Yielding and load shedding..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
3.2.7	Effect of discontinuities ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
3.2.8	Strain softening parameters ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
3.3	Summary..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
4	<b>Seismic analysis of failure mechanisms .</b> <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
4.1	East Driefontein dip-stabilising pillar site ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
4.1.1	Blackbox data..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
4.1.2	Mine-wide seismic data ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
4.2	Tau Tona strike stabilising pillar site..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
4.2.1	Blackbox data..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
5	<b>Moment tensor inversion program</b> ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
5.1	Moment Tensor Inversion Toolbox..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
5.1.1	Phase 1 - Fortran 90 using PSS input data files <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
5.1.2	Phase 2 – Visual Basic interface and Fortran DLL using PSS input data files <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
5.1.3	Phase 3 – Visual Basic interface and Fortran DLL using AURA input data files <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
5.1.4	Phase 4 – MTI toolbox written in Delphi 5 using AURA input data files <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
5.2	Overview of enhancements to MTI toolbox ... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>

5.3	MTI toolbox program structure.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
5.4	Equations implemented in MTI toolbox program	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
5.4.1	Absolute moment tensor inversion .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
5.4.2	Relative MTI with a reference mechanism.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
5.4.3	Relative MTI without a reference mechanism...	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
5.4.4	Hybrid MTI.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
5.4.5	Parameters used in interpretation of the output moment tensor solutions	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
5.5	Input data file generation.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
6	<b>Verification of Hybrid MTI.....</b>	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
6.1	Stability tests using synthetic data .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
6.2	Case study .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
7	<b>References .....</b>	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
8	<b>Appendices.....</b>	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>

# List of Figures

- Figure 1.1 Plan showing the location of the instrumentation site used in the geotechnical and geomechanical assessment.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 1.2 Photograph showing the hangingwall where the geotechnical logging took place, note also the brittle paint on the hangingwall (see section 2.1.2)**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 1.3 Lower hemisphere, equal area stereo net showing the orientations of the three major joint sets and the reef, in panel 50-26/5E ....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 1.4 Sketch of a strike section through the instrumentation site, showing the instrumented boreholes .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 1.5 Photograph showing the core from the pillar borehole (Borehole 1)**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 1.6 Photograph showing the core from the borehole drilled at 45° into the hangingwall (Borehole 2) .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 1.7 Photograph showing the core from the borehole drilled vertically into the hangingwall (Borehole 3).....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 1.8 Photograph showing the core from the borehole drilled 45° into the footwall (Borehole 4) .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 1.9 Photograph showing the core from the borehole drilled vertically into the footwall (Borehole 5) .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 1.10 Results of the triaxial tests performed on light grey, fine-grained quartzite from the reef (Borehole 1; 25,9 m to 26,78 m) .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 1.11  $\sigma_1$ - $\sigma_3$  curve for the triaxial tests performed on quartzite from the reef (Borehole 1), showing the effect of confinement and the degree of confidence**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 1.12 Results of the triaxial tests performed on siliceous quartzite from the hangingwall  
**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 1.13  $\sigma_1$ - $\sigma_3$  curve for the triaxial tests, showing the effect of confinement and the degree of confidence.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 1.14 Results of the triaxial tests performed on the Green Bar**Error! Bookmark not defined.**



Figure 1.15	$\sigma_1$ - $\sigma_3$ curve for the triaxial tests, showing the effect of confinement and the degree of confidence..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 1.16	Results of the triaxial tests performed on the footwall <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 1.17	$\sigma_1$ - $\sigma_3$ curve for the triaxial tests, showing the effect of confinement and the degree of confidence..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure1.18	Results of the data analysis performed using the criterion-2 evaluation <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 1.19	Mine plan showing the instrumentation site and the location of the geotechnical and geomechanical evaluation..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 1.20	Photograph showing the hangingwall where the geotechnical assessment was made at Tau Tona ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 1.21	Equal area stereo net showing the orientations of the three major joint sets and the reef, in panel 104-82-E3..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 1.22	Photograph showing footwall conditions at the Tau Tona site <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 1.23	Photograph showing the footwall conditions at the Driefontein site <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.1	Mine plan showing the location of the instrumentation site <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.2	Sketch of a strike section through the instrumentation site, showing the instrumented boreholes ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.3	Photograph of the instrumentation site in panel 50-26/E8 <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.4	Deformation measured on all the instrumentation between 18 June and 5 November. .... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.5	Photograph showing the potentiometric closuremeter and the pillar face (taken on 27/7/2001)..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.6	Deformation measured on the closuremeters located in panels in the 50/27 stope <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.7	Section showing that the seismic locations recorded between 24 and 30 October 2001 plotted in the footwall, below the pillar and the 50/27 stope <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>

Figure 2.8	Geological plan showing seismic locations between 24 and 30 October 2001 <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.9	Deformation measured on all the instrumentation between 5 and 20 November 2001 ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.10	Deformation measured on all the instrumentation over the duration of the monitoring period ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.11	Results of the seismicity measured at the instrumentation site <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.12	Results of the vertical footwall extensometer between 5 and 20 November 2001 <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.13	Photographs taken of the pillar before and after the 9 to 12 November 2001 event <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.14	Closure results between 5 and 20 November 2001 <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.15	Results of the 45 <sup>0</sup> -footwall extensometer between 5 and 20 November 2001 <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.16	Strike section showing the borehole locations and possible positions of shear planes ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.17	Results of the 45 <sup>0</sup> hangingwall-extensometer between 5 and 20 November 2001 <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.18	Strike section showing the borehole locations and possible positions of shear planes ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.19	Sketch showing a side view of the extensometer holes drilled in the pillar at the top of panel 104-82-E3 ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.20	Mine plan showing the instrumentation site in the 104-82-E3 panel at Tau Tona <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.21	Photograph showing the instrumentation site at Tau Tona <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.22	Photograph showing the installation of a closuremeter in the strike gully below the pillar..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.23	Deformation measured on all the instrumentation over the duration of the monitoring period ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.24	Results of the extensometer installed in the pillar hole <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>

Figure 2.25	Results of the extensometer installed in the hole drilled at $20^{\circ}$ above the pillar, into the hangingwall.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.26	Results of the extensometer installed in the hole drilled at $-27^{\circ}$ below the pillar, into the footwall.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.27	Results of the closuremeters .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 2.28	Results of the loadcell installed at the edge of the pillar, showing stress change	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 3.1	Punch strength in axi symmetric tests (after Wagner and Schümann and Cook et al.).....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 3.2	Theoretical asymptotes in addition to the test results..	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 3.3	In-plane wedge fracturing in laboratory tests on pillar foundation failure (no out-of-plane confinement) .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 3.4	Fixed boundaries, representative of an infinite row of pillars; displacement-controlled loading.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 3.5	Infinite boundaries, representing a single pillar; Loading is by field stresses	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 3.6	Point of failure: failure contours merging at the centerline to form a wedge or, alternatively, initiation of splitting along the centerline	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 3.7	Pillar performance associated with the indicated boundary conditions without (top) and with in situ horizontal stresses .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 3.8	Pillar performance associated with the indicated boundary conditions without (top) and with in situ horizontal stresses .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 3.9	Pillar performance associated with the indicated boundary conditions without (top) and with in situ horizontal stresses .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 3.10	Pillar performance associated with the indicated boundary conditions without (top) and with in situ horizontal stresses .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 3.11	Failure contours for a solid (left) and a layered (right) models subjected to the same conditions.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 3.12	Magnified deformations for punching into solid material (left) and into layered material (right).....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Figure 3.13	Effect of joints on pillar behaviour .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>

- Figure 3.14 Effect of confinement on pillar stress (horizontal asymptote is elastic)**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 3.15 Effect of confinement on pillar stress (horizontal asymptote is elastic)**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 3.16 Effect of confining pressure on punch strength ....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 3.17 Effect of in situ stress on punch strength, with and without horizontal interfaces  
**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 3.18 Failure contours and APS against vertical deformation for a non-dilating material with (right) and without (left) horizontal interface...**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 3.19 Failure contours and APS against vertical deformation for a dilating material with (right) and without (left) horizontal interface.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 3.20 Effect of dilation angle on punch strength for various softening rates (the numbers indicate cohesion in MPa and friction angle in degrees)**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 3.21 Medium-strain softening in a non-dilating material. Localisation of failure (left) and Average Pillar Stress (right) .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 3.22 Medium-strain softening in a dilating material. Localisation of failure (left) and Average Pillar Stress (right) .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 3.23 Strong-strain softening in a non-dilating model. Localisation of failure (left) and Average Pillar Stress (right) .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 3.24 Strong-strain softening in a dilating model. Localisation of failure (left) and Average Pillar Stress (right) .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 3.25 Failure in a non-dilating (left) and a dilating (right) material. Perfectly plastic, 10MPa horizontal confinement.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 3.26 Average Pillar Strength in perfectly plastic materials subjected to a horizontal confinement of 10MPa. Non-dilating (left) and dilating (right)**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.1 Layout of micro-seismic system installed at East Driefontein 5#. Square symbols denote geophone positions .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.2 Example of waveforms recorded by the blackbox network installed at the East Driefontein dip pillar site .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.3 Plan view showing located events (all magnitudes). Note the clustering of the events .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.4 Variation in spatial distribution of events with time**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

- Figure 4.5 Variation in spatial distribution of events in Cluster B (filtered) with time **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.6 Event locations of cluster A. The dashed line represents the reef plane. Note that the mine plan has been offset upwards for clarity. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.7 Event locations of cluster A. The dashed line represents the reef plane. Note that the mine plan has been offset upwards for clarity. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.8 (left) Plan view of the dip-pillar between the 50-26 and 50-27 stopes showing the trends of major geological features. (right) Equal area lower-hemisphere stereographic projection of the joint sets mapped in panel 50-26/E8. Plane orientations are given using the dip/dip direction convention. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.9 Network layout of the mine-wide seismic system at East Driefontein 5# (plan view)..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.10 Network layout of the mine-wide seismic system at East Driefontein 5# (section view)..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.11 Event 1010105010 (recorded by the mine-wide seismic network on 05/01/2001 at 15:02:46)..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.12 Event 1010104000 (recorded by the mine-wide seismic network on 04/01/2001 at 13:53:55)..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.13 Event 1010529002 (recorded by the mine-wide seismic network on 29/05/2001 at 16:14:40)..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.14 (top) Typical velocity seismograms. Velocity spectra calculated from the raw traces (solid line), spectral fits (dotted lines) and noise spectra for the P-wave (left) and S-wave (right) for three geophones at one site. Cosine tapering was applied and spectra were smoothed with 3-point averaging **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.15 Gutenberg-Richter frequency-magnitude distribution for the study area using data recorded between 01/01/2001 and 24/11/2001 ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.16 Comparison of local magnitudes (ML) computed using the ISS software and AURA for the data recorded in the study area during September 2001 **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.17 Comparison of seismic moments (Mo) computed using the ISS software and AURA for the data recorded in the study area during September 2001 **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.18 Comparison of seismic energy computed using the ISS software and AURA for the data recorded in the study area during September 2001 **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

- Figure 4.19 Plan view showing located events (all magnitudes) for 01/01/2001 to 19/11/2001  
**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.20 Variation in spatial distribution of events with time (approximately 2 month time steps) for events recorded between 01/01/2001 to 19/11/2001  
**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.21 Scattergram of event magnitude versus time.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.22 Comparison of Gutenberg-Richter frequency-magnitude distributions for the time periods: 01/01/2001 to 30/07/2001 and 01/08/2001 to 19/11/2001  
**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.23 Comparison of the ratio of the standard error of the solution to the scalar seismic moment computed using the absolute MTI and hybrid MTI methods  
**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.24 Modified strain ellipsoid showing orientations of structures formed during left-lateral (sinistral) strike-slip motion (from Kuang, 1988)  
**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.25 Radiation patterns and fault-plane solutions for events recorded at East Driefontein having  $ML > 1.8$  .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.26 Radiation patterns and fault-plane solutions for events recorded at East Driefontein for January 2001 .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.27 Radiation patterns and fault-plane solutions for events recorded at East Driefontein for February 2001 .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.28 Radiation patterns and fault-plane solutions for events recorded at East Driefontein for March 2001 .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.29 Radiation patterns and fault-plane solutions for events recorded at East Driefontein for April 2001 .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.30 Radiation patterns and fault-plane solutions for events recorded at East Driefontein for May 2001 .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.31 Radiation patterns and fault-plane solutions for events recorded at East Driefontein for June 2001 .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.32 Radiation patterns and fault-plane solutions for events recorded at East Driefontein for July 2001.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.33 Radiation patterns and fault-plane solutions for events recorded at East Driefontein for August 2001.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.34 Radiation patterns and fault-plane solutions for events recorded at East Driefontein for September 2001 .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

- Figure 4.35 Radiation patterns and fault-plane solutions for events recorded at East Driefontein for October 2001 .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.36 Radiation patterns and fault-plane solutions for events recorded at East Driefontein for November 2001 .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.37 Dip-pillar study area.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.38 Layout of micro-seismic system installed in the 104-82-E3 panel at Tau Tona. Square symbols denote geophone positions.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 5.1 (left) Interface of the moment tensor inversion toolbox. (right) About box**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 5.2 Schematic representation of the moment tensor inversion program (without a reference mechanism) .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 5.3 An example of an image generated by the MTI toolbox. The images can be saved to disc as bitmaps or copied to the Windows clipboard and pasted into documents. Shaded areas indicate compressional P-wave first motions**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 5.4 An example of an output file displayed by the text viewer**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 5.5 Flowchart illustrating the main computational steps (units) in the MTI toolbox  
**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 5.6 Variation in residuals of event 1, component 1 and the P-phase for five recording sites for (a) Scheme A - mean correction, (b) Scheme B - median correction, and, (c) Scheme C - weighted mean correction ....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 5.7 Example of data file created by AURA for input into the moment tensor inversion toolbox.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 5.8 Example of a data file created by hand for input into the moment tensor inversion toolbox.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 6.1 Coverage of the focal sphere for synthetic event cluster**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 6.2 Focal mechanism diagrams of synthetic cluster. The set of six events are pure double-couple sources consisting of vertically dipping fault planes with a North strike. The rake varies from 0° to 75° in 15° increments. Circle symbols represent positive (compressional) P-wave first motions. Circle size indicates the amplitude of the wave field at that point in the radiation pattern**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 6.3 Focal sphere coverage.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

- Figure 6.4 Velocity waveforms as recorded by site 1, component 2. Note similarity between events 991014004, 991118076, 991123066, 991127024, 991206128 and 1000316107. Only 13 of the 14 events are displayed since site 1 was inactive when event 1000225001 occurred.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 6.5 Radiation patterns and fault plane solutions for the cluster computed with an absolute moment tensor inversion .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 6.6 Radiation patterns and fault plane solutions for the cluster computed with the hybrid moment tensor inversion .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 6.7 Radiation patterns and fault plane solutions for the cluster computed with the hybrid moment tensor inversion. Events 1000316107 and 1000512000 were not included in the inversion.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 6.8 Graph showing the variation of normalised standard error with iteration number (first hybrid moment tensor inversion).....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 6.9 Graph showing the variation of normalised standard error with iteration number (second hybrid moment tensor inversion).....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**



# List of Tables

Table 1.1	Values required for Equation 1.4 to Equation 1.6.. <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.2	Geotechnical log of the hangingwall in panel 50-26/E8 <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.3	A comparison between rock mass ratings determined from the geotechnical assessment ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.4	Geotechnical results of the pillar borehole (borehole 1) <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.5	Geotechnical results of the borehole drilled 45 <sup>0</sup> into the hangingwall (borehole 2) <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.6	Geotechnical results of the borehole drilled vertically into the hangingwall (Borehole 3)..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.7	Geotechnical results of the borehole drilled 45 <sup>0</sup> into the footwall (Borehole 4) <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.8	Geotechnical results of the borehole drilled vertically into the footwall (Borehole 5) <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.9	Results of the uniaxial tests performed on reef samples from the pillar hole (Borehole 1)..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.10	Results of the confined tests performed on reef samples from the pillar hole (Borehole 1 - Figure 1.4) ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.11	Results of the Mohr-Coulomb analyses performed on the triaxial tests <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.12	Results of the uniaxial tests performed on hangingwall samples from the vertical hole (Borehole 3)..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.13	Results of the confined tests performed on hangingwall samples from the vertical hole (Borehole 3) ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.14	Results of the Mohr-Coulomb analyses performed on the triaxial tests <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.15	Results of the confined tests performed on the Green Bar <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.16	Results of the Mohr-Coulomb analyses performed on the triaxial tests <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>

Table 1.17	Results of the uniaxial tests performed on footwall samples	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.18	Results of the confined tests performed on footwall samples	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.19	Results of the Mohr-Coulomb analyses performed on the triaxial tests	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.20	Average geotechnical results of the extensometer boreholes	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.21	Summary of the average geomechanical properties determined by laboratory tests .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.22	Calculated input values for criterion-1 .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.23	Calculated input values for criterion-2.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.24	Geotechnical log of the hangingwall in panel 104-82-E3	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.25	A comparison between rock mass ratings determined from the geotechnical assessment .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 1.26	Results of the uniaxial tests performed on reef samples from the pillar hole (Borehole 1).....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 2.1	Depths of the extensometer anchors at the instrumentation site in panel 50-26/E8	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 2.2	Deformation recorded on the instruments at the Driefontein site before 9 November 2001 .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 2.3	Deformation recorded during the November event	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 2.4	Deformations recorded in the footwall extensometer between 9 and 12 November 2001 .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 2.5	Deformations recorded in the 45 <sup>0</sup> hangingwall-extensometer between 12 and 13 November 2001 .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 2.6	Results of the pillar extensometer between 8 and 13 February 2002	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 2.7	Results of the pillar extensometer between 8 and 19 February 2002	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>

Table 2.8	Results of the hangingwall extensometer between 8 and 13 February 2002	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 2.9	Results of the footwall extensometer between 8 and 13 February 2002	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 2.10	Results of the footwall extensometer between 6 and 8 February 2002	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 2.11	Results of the closuremeters between 6 and 13 February 2002	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 3.1	APS/UPS for different material parameters.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 3.2	APS/UCS values associated with various parameter combinations for rigid boundaries .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 4.1	Coordinates and channel numbering of geophones connected to blackbox 1	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 4.2	Coordinates and channel numbering of geophones and extensometers connected to blackbox 2.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 4.3	Comparison of event magnitudes and moments for data recorded for September 2001 .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 4.4	Comparison of radiation patterns and fault-plane solutions calculated using absolute and hybrid MTI methods .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 4.5	Events recorded between 9 and 15 November 2001	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 4.6	Events recorded on 8 November 2001 .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 4.7	Coordinates and channel numbering of geophones connected to blackbox at Tau Tona strike-pillar site .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 5.4.1	(a) Moment tensor solutions of six synthetic events computed using the multi-step iterative process at $w_{IterNo} = 0.5$ (iteration 8).....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 6.1	Average %DC source component for the cluster (noise free data)	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 6.2	Average %DC source component for the cluster (10% random noise)	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 6.3	Average %DC source component for the cluster (20% random noise)	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>

Table 6.4	Average %DC source component for the cluster (30% random noise) <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 6.5	Average %DC source component for the cluster (40% random noise) <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 6.6	Average %DC source component for the cluster (100% systematic noise applied to site 1, channel 1 for all events in cluster)..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 6.7	Average %DC source component for the cluster (100% systematic noise applied to sites1 and 3, channels 1 and 7 for all events in cluster) <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 6.8	Event parameters ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 6.9	Qualitative assessment of seismograms ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 6.10	Source parameters for the cluster (absolute moment tensor inversion) <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 6.11	Source parameters for the cluster (hybrid moment tensor inversion) <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 6.12	Source parameters for the cluster (hybrid moment tensor inversion) <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 6.13	Standard error normalised to scalar seismic moment for the cluster (first hybrid moment tensor inversion)..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Table 6.14	Standard error normalised to scalar seismic moment for the Oryx cluster (second hybrid moment tensor inversion)..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>

C:\Work\Webupdates\Duncan\Gap604\GAP 604 Chapter 1 2 Underground sites.doc

C:\Work\Webupdates\Duncan\Gap604\GAP 604 Chapter 3 Numerical Modelling.doc

C:\Work\Webupdates\Duncan\Gap604\GAP 604 Chapter 4 Seismic Analysis.doc

C:\Work\Webupdates\Duncan\Gap604\GAP 604 Chapter 5 MTI Program.doc

C:\Work\Webupdates\Duncan\Gap604\GAP 604 Chapter 6 HybridMTI Verification.doc

C:\Work\Webupdates\Duncan\Gap604\GAP 604 References.doc





# References