W44/4/3/7 Rec. 13055

139585

SAWTRI TECHNICAL REPORT



No. 329

Liquid Ammonia Mercerisation of Cotton: Part VI Liquid Ammonia Treatment of 50/50 Cotton/Polyester Fabrics

by F.A. Barkhuysen

SOUTH AFRICAN WOOL AND TEXTILE RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CSIR

> P. O. BOX 1124 PORT ELIZABETH REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

ISBN 07988 0969 8

LIQUID AMMONIA MERCERISATION OF COTTON: PART VI: LIQUID AMMONIA TREATMENT OF 50/50 COTTON/ POLYESTER FABRICS

BY F.A. BARKHUYSEN

ABSTRACT

The effect of liquid ammonia on certain properties of 50/50 cotton/polyester blended fabrics was investigated. It was found that, in general, a liquid ammonia treatment had a beneficial effect on some of the properties, such as shrinkage during washing, bursting strength, tear strength, resistance to flex abrasion and crease recovery angle. No deterioration of the other properties investigated was observed. A treatment with liquid ammonia, followed by a resin treatment produced fabrics with a significantly higher resistance to flex abrasion than treatment with resin only, with no deterioration in bursting strength and little effect on the crease recovery angle.

INTRODUCTION

Currently the treatment of cotton fabrics with liquid ammonia appears to become more popular owing to the beneficial properties that can be imparted to such fabrics in many respects. Research carried out at SAWTRI has confirmed these beneficial effects.^{1 - 3}. A large percentage of the cotton-containing end-commodities, however, comprise fabrics composed of cotton/polyester blends. These blends are not only very popular but compete with the conventional, all-cotton fabrics and have already, to a large extent, replaced them in the highly industrialised countries.⁴

Blending of cotton with polyester results in an improved durable press performance and increased resistance to abrasion of the fabrics, but may have an adverse effect on the resistance to pilling, handle and moisture absorption of the fabric⁵. When the blend contains less than a certain percentage of polyester it might not conform to durable press standards and a treatment with durable press resins has to be applied. A resin treatment may increase the durable press rating of the fabric, but will have an adverse effect on fabric strength, mainly due to a strength loss of the cotton fibres. It has been shown that mercerisation of all-cotton fabrics with liquid ammonia reduces this strength loss significantly, and a similar beneficial effect can therefore be expected in the case of cotton/polyester blends. Calamari et al⁶ stated that a liquid ammonia treatment of a cotton/polyester sheeting type fabric resulted in an increase in the lustre, tensile properties, wrinkle resistance and the flex life of samples subsequently treated for improved crease resistance. It was also found that an ammonia treatment

appeared to be more effective than the conventional sodium hydroxide treatment as a pretreatment for cross-linking. Furthermore it was found that, under the conditions employed, no measurable damage was imparted to the polyester fibres by the liquid ammonia.

Liquid ammonia mercerisation can also be used to improve the smooth drying appearance of rope bleached cotton and cotton/polyester blends.⁶ Although the rope mark problem appears to be more serious in the case of all-cotton fabrics than with cotton/polyester blends, there is a definite need to improve the smooth drying appearance of both types of fabric. It has been stated that these desirable effects can be accomplished much more quickly with liquid ammonia than with conventional sodium hydroxide mercerisation.⁶ Ammonia ruptures the hydrogen bonds responsible for the longitudinal creases or rope marks and thus allows the fabric to relax and attain a flat configuration.

The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of a liquid ammonia treatment, using the SAWTRI liquid ammonia merceriser, on the dimensional stability and physical properties before and after a subsequent resin treatment of two blended cotton/polyester fabrics and an all-cotton fabric.

EXPERIMENTAL

A plain weave scoured and bleached all-cotton fabric with mass/unit area of 185 g/m² and two plain weave scoured, bleached and heat-set 50/50 cotton/polyester fabrics with mass/unit area of 103 g/m² and 171 g/m² respectively, were used in this investigation. The scouring, bleaching and heatsetting treatments were carried out at a local textile mill. The fabrics were treated with liquid ammonia on the SAWTRI liquid ammonia merceriser as described previously. Four and eight per cent stretch respectively, was applied in the warp direction of the fabrics, the contact time in liquid ammonia was 15 seconds and the ammonia was removed from the fabrics by infra-red heat or hot water (± 75°C). Samples of the fabrics treated with liquid ammonia as well as untreated fabrics were subsequently treated with 2,5 5,0 and 7,5 per cent of a DMDHEU type resin (®Fixapret CP conc.). Zn(NO₃)₂.6H₂O (10 per cent on mass of resin) was used as catalyst and 0,2 per cent (on mass of solution) ®Tergitol Speedwet, a non-ionic wetting agent, as wetting agent. The fabrics were dried at room temperature and cured for three minutes at 160°C. The effect of the liquid ammonia treatment on the shrinkage and dimensional stability of the fabrics during liquid ammonia treatment and after washing in a Cubex apparatus, as well as the various physical properties, were determined in the usual manner.1 , 2

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effect of different degrees of stretch applied to, and different methods

AREA SHRINKAGE OF COTTON AND COTTON/ POLYESTER FABRICS DURING LIQUID AMMONIA AND SUBSEQUENT WASHING TREATMENTS

TABLE I

| | Stretch | NH ₃ Removed | A | rea Shrinka | ige (%) af | ter |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Fabric | (%) | by | NH ₃ | Relaxa- tion | 60¹ Wash | 120,¹ Wash |
| 50/50 Cotton/ | Control | | • | 6,5 | 5,3 | 5,0 |
| Polyester (103 g/m²) | 4 | Heat | 2,6 | 4,8 | 3,9 | 6,0 |
| (103 g/ III) | 4 8 8 | Hot water Heat Hot water | 2,0 3,4 2,9 | 4,2 6,7 5,8 | 3,4 6,2 4,6 | 4,4 7,2 5,0 |
| 50/50 Cotton/ | Control | | | 5,0 | 5,7 | 5,9 |
| Polyester (171 g/m ²) | . 4 | Heat | 1,3 | 3,1 | 3,9 | 3,9 |
| (171 g/m²) | 4 8 8 | Hot water Heat Hot water | 0,8 1,2 1,0 | 2,7 4,3 5,5 | 3,1 4,1 2,8 | 3,3 4,8 2,8 |
| 100% Cotton | Control | | | 10,0 | 12,8 | 12,4 |
| (185 g/m²) | 4 4 8 8 | Heat Hot water Heat Hot water | -2,2 0,9 -3,6 -1,5 | 5,0 5,5 6,5 2,9 | 6,0 4,8 6,7 5,3 | 7,0 6,2 7,2 5,3 |

of removal of ammonia from the fabrics, on the shrinkage of the fabrics during the liquid ammonia treatment and after subsequent washing treatments is given in Table I. It is clear that very little shrinkage occurred during the liquid ammonia treatment, especially in the case of the heavier blended fabric. In the case of the all-cotton fabric in general, an increase in fabric area was

encountered. The amount of stretch applied (4 or 8 per cent) differed very slightly in their effect on the area shrinkage of the fabrics. Removal of the ammonia by hot water resulted in a slightly lower degree of fabric shrinkage than removal by heat. Furthermore, it can be seen that the liquid ammonia treatment reduced the degree of shrinkage of the all-cotton fabric and heavier blended fabric during subsequent washing tests. In the case of the lightweight cotton/polyester fabric, however, the liquid ammonia did not improve the dimensional stability of the fabric. The liquid ammonia treatment had a greater effect on the all-cotton fabric than on the blended fabrics as would be expected. Removal of the ammonia by hot water consistently gave better results than removal by heat.

The results of some physical properties of the untreated and the liquid ammonia treated fabrics are given in Table II. The results were analysed statistically (Analysis of Variance) in order to determine the effect of the various treatments on the different fabrics. It was found that for all three fabrics, the degree of stretch and the removal of ammonia by heat or hot water had no influence on the breaking strength of the fabrics. The same applies for the breaking extension of the fabrics. The ammonia treatment did, however, result in a lower extension in the case of the all-cotton fabric. The bursting strength of the all-cotton fabric was lower after heat removal of the ammonia than after hot water removal. On the other hand the analysis showed that, in the case of the lightweight blend, heat removal of the ammonia resulted in a higher bursting strength than water removal. No difference was observed in the case of the heavier blend. Furthermore, the higher degree of stretch gave better bursting strength values on all three fabrics. In general an ammonia treatment produced fabrics with a higher bursting strength than the untreated fabrics, especially in the case of the all-cotton fabric and the heavier blended fabric. The tear strength of the treated fabrics was found to be significantly higher than that of the control fabrics. Heat removal of the ammonia produced better tear strength results than hot water removal. The flex abrasion resistance of the fabrics showed the same tendencies as those observed for the tear strength. The statistical analysis furthermore showed that the different processing parameters used during the ammonia treatment did not significantly affect the resistance of the fabrics to flat abrasion. An increase in resistance to flat abrasion, however, was found for the lightweight blended fabric after an ammonia treatment. Heat removal of the ammonia resulted in a more flexible fabric than hot water removal in the case of the all-cotton fabric, but in *stiffer fabrics* in the case of the two blended fabrics. Furthermore, the fabrics treated at the lower degree of stretch were found to be stiffer than those treated at the higher stretch value, especially in the case of the all-cotton fabric. An ammonia treatment generally resulted in higher crease recovery angles in the case of the all-cotton fabric and heavier blended fabric whereas no improvement was found for the lightweight blend. Heat removal of

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF COTTON AND COTTON/POLYESTER FABRICS AFTER **LIQUID AMMONIA TREATMENT** TABLE II

| | | | | | | PHYSIC | PHYSICAL PROPERTIES | ERTIES | r | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Fabric | | Ammonia | Breaking | Breaking | Bursting | Tear | Flex | Flat A % ma | Flat Abrasion % mass loss after | Bending | Monsanto |
| | (%) | Ву | (N) | (%) | (kN/m²) | (N) | rupture) | 5 000 cycles | 10 000 cycles | (cm) | Angle (W + F) |
| | Control | | 462 | 10,3 | 835 | 17,8 | 2010 | 4,4 | 8,0 | 1,78 | 260 |
| 50/50 Polyester/ Cotton | 4 | Heat | 454 | 11,0 | 819 | 24,0 | 3730 | 3,5 | 6,7 | 1,78 | 255 |
| (103 g/m²) | 4 | Но water | 447 | 10,1 | 753 | 24,3 | 3372 | 3,3 | 6,1 | 1,74 | 261 |
| | 00 00 | Heat Hot water | 452 | 0,01 | 786 | 24,4 | 3200 2966 | ဝ က က | 6,0 | 1,68 | 262 |
| | Control | | 738 | | 1394 | 32,8 | 4050 | 1,8 | 3,2 | 1,99 | 248 |
| 50/50 Polyester/ Cotton | 4 | Heat | 705 | 8,0 | 1476 | 37,6 | 6472 | 9,1 | 3,1 | 1,94 | 260 |
| (171 g/m²) | 4 00 00 | Hot water Heat | 747 | 7,5 %,2 7,5 | 1456 1499 1501 | 34,1 | 5433 5991 5288 | 2,4 | 3,3 | 1,80 | 244 261 255 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 00% Cotton | Control | | 642 | 12,4 | 1170 | 20,7 | 941 | 2,6 | 4,4 | 2,25 | 162 |
| (m/g cor) | 4 4 00 00 | Heat Hot water Heat Hot water | 622 641 613 654 | 10,8 11,8 10,5 11,0 | 1316 1308 1334 1438 | 28,8 20,6 28,6 25,5 | 2105 1141 1964 1310 | 2,5 3,1 2,1 2,3 | 4,4 7,4 3,7 | 2,43 2,71 2,18 2,13 | 206 185 202 164 |
| ч | | | | ~` | | | | | | | |

ammonia resulted in higher crease recovery angles than hot water removal in the case of the all-cotton fabric and heavier blended fabric, but in lower values in the case of the lightweight blended fabric.

Some physical properties of the liquid ammonia treated fabrics after resin treatment are given in Table III. These results were also analysed statistically. The analysis clearly showed that an ammonia pretreatment of the all-cotton fabric resulted in lower bursting strength losses than those encountered with the resin treated control fabrics. This effect was not so marked in the case of the two blended fabrics, but there was, however, a slight improvement in the bursting strength of the ammonia treated fabrics compared with the control fabrics. The analysis furthermore showed that heat removal of the ammonia from the all-cotton and the lightweight blended fabric resulted in higher bursting strength values than the removal by hot water, whereas no differences were observed in the case of the heavier blend. It was found that, when four per cent stretch was applied to the all-cotton fabric, heat removal of the ammonia gave higher bursting strength values than hot water removal whereas heat and water removal treatments produced the same results when eight per cent stretch was applied. In the case of the other two fabrics the degree of stretch had no influence on the bursting strength.

As far as the resistance to flex abrasion is concerned the analysis showed that a pretreatment with liquid ammonia significantly increased the resistance to abrasion of the resin treated fabrics. The resistance of the fabrics to flex abrasion decreased with increasing levels of add-on of resin. Heat removal of the ammonia resulted in a significantly higher resistance to flex abrasion than water removal. The stretching of the fabrics to different degrees had no effect on the resistance of the fabrics to flex abrasion.

An ammonia pretreatment resulted in higher crease recovery angles of the all-cotton fabric especially at the low levels of resin add-on. The analysis showed that the crease recovery angles of the blended fabrics were not affected by the liquid ammonia treatment. The analysis further showed that neither the degree of stretch applied, nor the heat or hot water removal of ammonia, had any effect on the crease recovery angle of all three different resin treated fabrics.

From the results obtained it is not clear whether the liquid ammonia damaged the polyester fibre in the blend to any extent. Further work on the effect of liquid ammonia on the physical properties of an all-polyester fabric will be carried out to investigate this aspect.

SUMMARY

Two cotton/polyester blended fabrics and an all-cotton fabric were treated on the SAWTRI liquid ammonia merceriser to establish the effect of liquid ammonia on the physical properties and dimensional stability of the

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF COTTON AND COTTON/POLYESTER FABRICS AFTER LIQUID AMMONIA AND RESIN TREATMENTS TABLE III

| | | | | | | | PHY | PHYSICAL PROPERTIES | ROPER | TIES | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Fabric | Stretch (%) | Ammonta Removed by | A | BURSTING STRENGTH (kN/m²) | STRENGT 'm²) | = | | FLEX AB (Cycles to | FLEX ABRASION (Cycles to rupture) | | - H | MONSANTO CREASE RECOVERY ANGLE (W + F) | O CREAS | E / + F) |
| | | | 0% Resin | 2,5% Resin | 5,0% Resin | 7,5% Resin | 0% Resin | 2,5% Resin | 5,0% Resin | 7,5% Resin | 0% Resin | 2,5% Resin | 5,0% Resin | 7,5% Resin |
| | Control 4 | Heat | 835 | 628 689 | 657 | 664 | 2010 3730 | 2815 | 1907 | 1164 | 260 | 269 | 284 | 285 |
| Polyester/ Cotton (103 g/m²) | 4 ∞ ∞ | Hot water Heat Hot water | 753 843 786 | 615 770 691 | 598 760 696 | 650 691 677 | 3372 3200 2966 | 2257 3084 2775 | 2293 2525 2364 | 1662 2189 1514 | 261 251 262 | 273 260 262 | 296 289 283 | 306 |
| 50/50 Polyester Cotton (171 g/m²) | Control 4 4 8 8 8 | Heat Hot water Heat Hot water | 1394 1476 1456 1499 1501 | 1069 1380 1255 1302 1160 | 1140 1204 1243 1216 1162 | 1147 1189 1152 1250 1273 | 4050 6472 5433 5991 5288 | 4540 5645 4538 4885 4351 | 3225 4525 3996 4283 3997 | 2371 3664 3631 3631 3526 | 248 260 244 261 255 | 264 267 276 261 261 | 263 279 283 279 263 | 285 291 291 288 276 |
| 100% Cotton (185 g/m²) | Control 4 4 4 6 8 8 8 | Heat Hot water Heat Hot water | 1170 1316 1308 1334 1438 | 973 1314 1184 1339 1231 | 760 1121 900 1150 | 620 823 652 863 834 | 941 2105 1141 1964 1310 | 913 1455 982 1580 1016 | 370 1604 427 1388 324 | 183 511 148 499 170 | 162 206 185 202 164 | 168 187 187 201 217 | 228 216 245 245 216 231 | 271 263 271 271 263 276 |

fabrics. It was found that a liquid ammonia treatment reduced the shrinkage of the all-cotton fabric and the heavier blended fabric during washing but in the case of the lightweight blended fabric no effect was observed. Removal of the ammonia from the fabric by hot water gave better results than removal by heat.

It was found that the liquid ammonia treatment did not have a significant effect on the breaking strength, breaking extension and the resistance of the fabrics to flat abrasion. A liquid ammonia treatment, however, resulted in lower extension values in the case of the all-cotton fabric and in an increase in the resistance of the lightweight blend to flat abrasion. The bursting strength of the all-cotton fabric and the heavier blended fabric treated with liquid ammonia was found to be higher than that of the control fabric, especially in the case where the fabrics were stretched to a higher degree. The tear strength and resistance to flex abrasion of the fabrics treated with liquid ammonia also were found to be significantly higher than that of the control fabrics. It was found that the liquid ammonia treatment had the biggest effect on the bending length (stiffness) of the all-cotton fabric compared to the control fabric. The bending lengths of the two blended fabrics was not significantly affected by the liquid ammonia. It was also found that heat removal of the ammonia from the all-cotton fabric resulted in a more flexible fabric than hot water removal, but in stiffer fabrics in the case of the other two fabrics. The lower degree of stretch produced stiffer fabrics than did the higher degree of stretch. The liquid ammonia treatment, in general, resulted in lower crease recovery angles on the lightweight blend and in bigger angles on the other two fabrics. Removal of the ammonia from the fabrics by heat resulted in higher crease recovery angles in the case of the all-cotton fabric and heavier blended fabrics, but in lower values for the lightweight blend when compared with those obtained after removal by hot water.

After resin treatment of the fabrics it was found that a liquid ammonia pretreatment reduced the loss in bursting strength of the all-cotton fabric. For the lightweight and heavier blended fabrics a pretreatment with liquid ammonia had no beneficial effect on the bursting strength although it did result in a slight improvement. When considering the flex abrasion resistance of the fabrics after resin treatment it was found that a liquid ammonia pretreatment resulted in a significant increase in resistance of all three fabrics to flex abrasion, especially when heat was used to remove the ammonia. Finally, it was found that the liquid ammonia pretreatment had no effect on the crease recovery angle of the fabrics after resin treatment except for the low add-on levels of resin on the all-cotton fabric.

A costing analysis to compare the economics of liquid ammonia and the conventional sodium hydroxide mercerisation processes will be given in the next report of this series.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank Dr N.J.J. van Rensburg of the Protein Chemistry Department for valuable discussions during this investigation as well as Miss C. Strydom and Mrs S. Buchanan for technical assistance. He is also indebted to the Textile Physics Department of SAWTRI for carrying out the physical tests as well as the Statistics Department for the statistical analysis of the results.

THE USE OF PROPRIETARY NAMES

® Fixapret is a registered trade name of Messrs BASF, and ® Tergitol is a registered trade name of Messrs Union Carbide. The fact that proprietary names have been mentioned in this report does not in any way imply that SAWTRI recommends them or that there are not substitutes with equal or better value.

REFERENCES

- 1. Barkhuysen, F.A., Liquid Ammonia Mercerisation of Cotton, Part II: The Influence of Anhydrous Liquid Ammonia on the Dimensional Stability of Cotton Fabrics. S. African Wool & Text. Res. Inst. Tech. Rep. No. 286 (March, 1976).
- 2. Barkhuysen, F.A., Liquid Ammonia Mercerisation of Cotton, Part III: The Influence of Anhydrous Liquid Ammonia on the Physical Properties of Cotton Fabrics. S. African Wool & Text. Res. Inst. Tech. Rep. No. 289 (March, 1976).
- 3. Barkhuysen, F.A., Liquid Ammonia Mercerisation of Cotton, Part IV: Liquid Ammonia Mercerisation as a Pretreatment for Subsequent Durable Press Treatments. S. African Wool & Text. Res. Inst. Tech. Rep. No. 293 (April, 1976).
- 4. Würz, A., New Techniques and Development in Continuous Dyeing of Cellulosic Fibres Alone and in Blends with Other Fibres. Dyers Dyegest, 5, No. 2, 24 (March, 1976).
- 5. Kelly, I.W., A Comparison of Certain Physical Properties of Plain Weave Fabrics from Cotton Blended with Different Polyester Fibre Types, Part I: Untreated Fabrics. S. African Wool & Text. Res. Inst. Tech. Rep. No. 220 (May, 1974).
- 6. Calamari, T.A., Schreiber, S.P., Cooper, A.S. and Reeves, W.A., Liquid Ammonia Modification of Cellulose in Cotton and Polyester/Cotton Textiles. Text. Chem. Col., 3, No. 10, 234/61 (Oct., 1971).
- 7. Hanekom, E.C. and Barkhuysen, F.A., Liquid Ammonia Mercerisation of Cotton, Part I: Construction of a pilot plant chainless merceriser. S. African Wool & Text. Res. Inst. Tech. Rep. No. 277 (Dec., 1975).

ISBN 0 7988 0969 8

Published by
The South African Wool and Textile Research Institute
P.O. Box 1124, Port Elizabeth, South Africa,
and printed in the Republic of South Africa
by P.U.D. Repro (Pty) Ltd., P.O. Box 44, Despatch



